arXiv:1406.5240v1 [nlin.SI] 19 Jun 2014

June 23, 2014

TAU FUNCTION AND VIRASORO ACTION FOR THE $n \times n$ KDV HIERARCHY

CHUU-LIAN TERNG[†] AND KAREN UHLENBECK[*]

Abstract. This is the third in a series of papers attempting to describe a uniform geometric framework in which many integrable systems can be placed. A soliton hierarchy can be constructed from a splitting of an infinite dimensional group L as positive and negative subgroups $L_\pm$ and a commuting sequence in the Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}_+$ of L_+. Given $f \in L_-$, there is a formal inverse scattering solution u_f of the hierarchy. When there is a 2 co-cycle on $\mathcal{L}$ that vanishes on both $\mathcal{L}_+$ and $\mathcal{L}_-$, Wilson constructed for each $f \in L_-$ a tau function τ_f for the hierarchy. In this third paper, we prove the following results for the $n \times n$ KdV hierarchy:

(1) The second partials of $\ln \tau_f$ are differential polynomials of the formal inverse scattering solution u_f. Moreover, u_f can be recovered from the second partials of $\ln \tau_f$.
(2) The natural Virasoro action on $\ln \tau_f$ constructed in the second paper is given by partial differential operators in $\ln \tau_f$.
(3) There is a bijection between phase spaces of $n \times n$ KdV hierarchy and Gelfand-Dickey (GD_n) hierarchy on the space of order n linear differential operators on the line so that the flows in these two hierarchies correspond under the bijection.
(4) Our Virasoro action on the $n \times n$ KdV hierarchy is constructed from a simple Virasoro action on the negative group. We show that it corresponds to the known Virasoro action on the GD_n hierarchy under the bijection.

1. Introduction

This is the third in a series of papers attempting to describe a uniform geometric framework in which many integrable systems can be placed. We defined the $n \times n$ KdV hierarchy in the first paper [7]. We gave integral formulas for the partials of tau functions τ and a general construction of Virasoro action on $\ln \tau$ in terms of reduced frames for soliton hierarchies in the second paper [8]. The main goal of this paper is to apply these general framework and formulas to the $n \times n$ KdV hierarchy. In particular, we obtain the following results:

(1) We can recover the formal inverse scattering solutions from partial derivatives of the tau function of the scattering data.
(2) The natural Virasoro action on $\ln \tau$ explained in our previous paper [8] is given by partial differential operators on $\ln \tau$.

[†]Research supported in part by NSF Grant DMS-1109342.
[*]Research supported in part by the Sid Richardson Regents' Chair Funds, University of Texas system .

(3) There is a bijection between the phase space of the $n \times n$ KdV hierarchy and the space of order n differential operators on the line so that flows in the $n \times n$ KdV correspond to flows in the Gelfand-Dickey (GD_n) hierarchy under this bijection.
(4) We prove that our Virasoro action on the $n \times n$ KdV hierarchy corresponds to the well-known Virasoro action on the GD_n hierarchy under the bijection.

We apologize for the fact that these results involve long and complicated computations. In the future, we would like to understand scale invariant solutions, which do not have scattering data of the sort used to construct the formulas in the paper.

This paper is organized as follows: We set up notation and review the construction of the $n \times n$ KdV hierarchy in section 2, and review the gauge equivalence and the construction of Drinfeld-Sokolov's quotient flows in section 3. We describe cross sections of the gauge action and define the cross section flows in section 4. We prove that we can recover the formal inverse scattering solution from the second partials of $\ln \tau$ for the $n \times n$ KdV hierarchy in section 5, and prove that the Virasoro vector fields on $\ln \tau$ are given by partial differential operators in section 6. We show that the $n \times n$ KdV hierarchy is equivalent to the GD_n hierarchy and give an algorithm to construct a solution of the $n \times n$ KdV hierarchy from a solution of the GD_n hierarchy in section 7. We prove that the Virasoro vector fields for the $n \times n$ KdV hierarchy correspond to the well-known Virasoro vector fields for the GD_n hierarchy under the equivalence in the last section.

2. The $n \times n$ KdV hierarchy

In this section, we review the definition of the $n \times n$ KdV_B hierarchy and set up some notations. Readers who have seen the first paper [7] can go directly to section 3.

Let $L = L(SL(n,\mathbb{C}))$ denote the group of smooth maps from S^1 to $SL(n,\mathbb{C})$. A pair of subgroups $L_\pm$ is a *splitting* of L if $L_+ \cap L_- = \{e\}$ and the corresponding Lie algebra $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(sl(n,\mathbb{C}))$ will be $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_+ \oplus \mathcal{L}_-$. Let $\xi_\pm$ denote the $\mathcal{L}_\pm$ component of $\xi \in \mathcal{L}$ with respect to $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_+ + \mathcal{L}_-$.

We use several splittings in this paper. The first splitting is called the *standard splitting*: Let $L_+(SL(n,\mathbb{C}))$ be the subgroup of $g \in L(SL(n,\mathbb{C}))$ that is the boundary value of a holomorphic map from the disk $|\lambda| \leq 1$, and $L_-(SL(n,\mathbb{C}))$ the subgroup of $f \in L(SL(n,\mathbb{C}))$ that is the boundary value of a holomorphic map $\tilde{f}$ defined on $1 \leq |\lambda| \leq \infty$ and $\tilde{f}(\infty) = \mathrm{I}$. The Lie

algebras written in terms of Fourier series are given by

$$\mathcal{L} := \mathcal{L}(sl(n,\mathbb{C})) = \{\xi(\lambda) = \sum_j \xi_j \lambda^j | \xi_j \in sl(n,\mathbb{C})\},$$
$$\mathcal{L}_+(sl(n,\mathbb{C})) = \{\xi \in \mathcal{L}(sl(n,\mathbb{C})) \,\big|\, \xi(\lambda) = \sum_{j\geq 0} \xi_j \lambda^j\},$$
$$\mathcal{L}_-(sl(n,\mathbb{C})) = \{\xi \in \mathcal{L}(sl(n,\mathbb{C})) \,\big|\, \xi(\lambda) = \sum_{j< 0} \xi_j \lambda^j\}.$$

Let N_+, N_- denote the subgroups of upper and lower triangular matrices in $SL(n,\mathbb{C})$ with 1 on the diagonal entries respectively, B_+, B_- the subgroups of all upper and lower triangular matrices in $SL(n,\mathbb{C})$ respectively, and $\mathcal{N}_+, \mathcal{N}_-, \mathcal{B}_+, \mathcal{B}_-$ the corresponding Lie subalgebras.

We have described the standard splitting. There is a *special splitting*

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_+ = \{\sum_{j>0} \xi_j \lambda^j + \xi_0 \in \mathcal{L}(sl(n,\mathbb{C}))|\xi_0 \in \mathcal{B}_+\}, \\ \mathcal{L}_- = \{\sum_{j<0} + \xi_0 \in \mathcal{L}(sl(n,\mathbb{C}))|\xi_0 \in \mathcal{N}_-\}. \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

The third type of splitting uses the same $\mathcal{L}_+$ as the standard splitting. However, $\mathcal{L}_-$ depends on a linear map $B : sl(n,\mathbb{C}) \to \mathcal{N}_-$, which we described in detail when we construct the flows.

To construct the flows we use the sequence

$$\mathfrak{J} = \{J^j | j \geq 1, j \not\equiv 0 (\mathrm{mod}\, n)\}, \tag{2.2}$$
$$J = e_{n1}\lambda + b \in \mathcal{L}_+, \quad b = e_{12} + e_{23} + \ldots + e_{n-1,n}. \tag{2.3}$$

Next we give some properties of J. A simple computation implies that

$$J^n = \lambda \mathrm{I}_n, \tag{2.4}$$
$$J^i = (b^t)^{n-i}\lambda + b^i, \quad J^{-i} = (b^t)^i + b^{n-i}\lambda^{-1}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n-1, \tag{2.5}$$

where I_n is the $n \times n$ identity matrix.

We recall a Lemma in [3]. Since the proof is simple we include it.

Lemma 2.1. *([3]) Given $\eta \in \mathcal{L}(gl(n,\mathbb{C}))$, there exist unique $y_i \in \mathcal{T}_n$ such that*

$$\eta = \sum_i y_i J^i,$$

where $\mathcal{T}_n$ is the sub-algebra of all diagonal matrices in $gl(n,\mathbb{C})$.

Proof. Since $J^{nk} = \lambda^k \mathrm{I}_n$ and the formula is additive, it is enough to prove the formula for $g \in sl(n,\mathbb{C})$. If g is diagonal, we may choose $y_0 = g = y_0 \mathrm{I}_n$. If g is in the j-th diagonal, $j > 0$, then we can write $g = \sum_{s=1}^{n-j} g_s e_{s,j+s}$. Since $J^j = \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{j} e_{n-j+i,i} + \sum_{s=1}^{n-j} e_{s,s+j}$, we see $g = yJ^j$, where $y = \sum_{s=1}^{n-j} g_s e_{ss}$. The proof is similar for $j < 0$. □

Although J and diagonal matrix do not commute, there is a nice relation:

$$J^k h = h^{\sigma^k} J^k, \tag{2.6}$$

where $h^{\sigma^k} = \operatorname{diag}(h_{k+1}, \dots, h_n, h_1, \dots, h_k)$ if $h = \operatorname{diag}(h_1, \dots, h_n)$.

The following Theorem is proved in several places in the literature ([3], [7]).

Theorem 2.2. *Given $u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}_-)$, there exists a unique $Q(u) \in \mathcal{L}$ of the form*

$$Q(u)(\lambda) = J + \sum_{j\le 0} Q_j(u)\lambda^j = J + \sum_{j\le 0} y_j(u)J^j$$

satisfying

$$\begin{cases} [\partial_x - (J+u), Q(u)] = 0, \\ Q(u)^n = \lambda \mathrm{I}_n, \end{cases} \tag{2.7}$$

where $y_j(u)$'s are diagonal matrices function. Moreover,

(i) *$Q_j(u)$ and $y_j(u)$ are differential polynomials of u in x variable for all $j \le 0$,*
(ii) *$Q_0(u) - u \in \mathcal{N}_-$.*

Proof. Note that $e_{n1}z^n + b$ is conjugate to az, where

$$\lambda = z^n, \quad a = \operatorname{diag}(1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^{n-1}), \quad \alpha = \exp(2\pi i/n).$$

So there is a unique $Q(u)$ satisfying (2.7) (for a proof cf. [6]) and entries of $Q_j(u)$'s are differential polynomials.

It remains to prove that $Q_0(u) - u \in \mathcal{N}_-$. Since $u \in \mathcal{B}_-$, by (2.5), we have $u = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} h_{-i}J^{-i}$, where $h_i = \sum_{j=1}^{n-i} u_{i+j,j}e_{i+j,i+j}$, where $u = (u_{ij})$. Assume that $Q(u) = J + \sum_{j\le 0} y_j J^j$ with $y_j \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_n)$, where $\mathcal{T}_n$ is the subalgebra of all diagonal matrices in $gl(n, \mathbb{C})$. We use (2.6) to write (2.7) as a power series in J with $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{T}_n)$ coefficients on the left:

$$\begin{cases} [\partial_x - (J + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} h_{-i}J^{-i},\ J + \sum_{j<0} y_j J^j] = 0, \\ (J + \sum_{j\le 0} y_j J^j)^n = J^n. \end{cases}$$

Then y_j's can be solved uniquely by comparing coefficients of J^k for $k \le 0$ of the above equation. For $k = 0$, we obtain $y_0 = h_0$. Theorem follows from the uniqueness of solution of (2.7). □

Next we consider soliton hierarchy constructed from the splitting $L_\pm$ of $L = L(SL(n, \mathbb{C}))$ and the *vacuum sequence* $\mathfrak{J}$ as in (2.2). Then the flow equation generated by J^j is the following evolution equation on $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, Y)$,

$$u_{t_j} = [\partial_x - (J+u), (Q(u)^j)_+], \tag{2.8}$$

where

$$Y = [J, \mathcal{L}_-]_+.$$

Here and henceforth we use $\xi_\pm$ to denote the $\mathcal{L}_\pm$ components of $\xi \in \mathcal{L}$. $\xi = \xi_+ + \xi_-$ By general theory, these flows commute.

It can be checked that

$$Q(u)_+ = J + u \tag{2.9}$$

if and only if the first flow equation is the translation $u_{t_1} = u_x$. In such case, we can identify

$$t_1 = x.$$

But not all splittings have this last property as we show in Example 2.9 that the standard splitting fails.

2.3. The formal inverse scattering

Set $t = (t_1, \ldots, t_N)$ and

$$V(t) = \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} t_j J^j\right). \tag{2.10}$$

Given $f \in L_-$, it follows from the Local Factorization Theorem (cf. Theorem 1.2 of [7]) that there is an subset $\mathcal{O}_0$ of the origin in $\mathbb{R}^N$ such that we can factor

$$V(t)f^{-1} = M(t)^{-1}E(t) \in L_- \times L_+$$

for all $t \in \mathcal{O}_0$. It can be checked by a direct computation (cf. [7]) that

$$u_f := (MJM^{-1})_+ - J$$

is a solution of the hierarchy, which is called the *formal inverse scattering solution* associated to $f \in L_-$. We call E and M the *frame* and the *reduced frame* of u_f. Note that $u = 0$ is a solution of the hierarchy and $V(t)$ is a frame for $u = 0$. We call $V(t)$ the *vacuum frame*.

As mentioned before that $Q(u)_+$ is not necessary to be $J + u$ for the standard splitting. But all formal inverse scattering data solutions have this property, so we can identify t_1 with x for these solutions:

Proposition 2.4. *Let M denote the reduced frame of the formal inverse scattering solution u_f given by $f \in L_-$. Then*

1. *$Q(u_f) = MJM^{-1}$ solves (2.7) for $u = u_f$,*
2. *$Q(u_f)_+ = J + u_f$,*
3. *$M(\partial_x - J)M^{-1} = \partial_x - (J + u_f)$,*
4. *$(u_f)_{t_1} = (u_f)_x$, and we may identify t_1 with x for formal inverse scattering solutions.*

Proof. It follows from the construction of u_f that we have $M = EfV^{-1}$. Since V and J commute, we get $MJM^{-1} = EfJf^{-1}E^{-1}$ and

$$M_{t_1}M^{-1} = E_{t_1}E^{-1} - EfJf^{-1}E^{-1} = E_{t_1}E^{-1} - MJM^{-1}.$$

But $E_{t_1}E^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}_+$ and $M_{t_1}M^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}_-$ imply that

$$(MJM^{-1})_+ = E_{t_1}E^{-1},$$

$$M_{t_1}M^{-1} = -(MJM^{-1})_-. \tag{2.11}$$

Statements of the Proposition follow from (2.11) and a straight forward computation. □

There is a sequence of natural commuting flows on L_- constructed from the splitting $L_\pm$ and the vacuum sequence $\mathfrak{J}$. Solutions of these flows on L_- give rise to solutions of the soliton flows (2.8) (cf. [6]):

Theorem 2.5. *Let $J = e_{n1}\lambda + b$ be as in (2.3). If $M(t) \in L_-$ satisfies*

$$M_{t_j} M^{-1} = -(MJ^jM^{-1})_-, \quad 1 \le j \le N,$$

then $u := (MJM^{-1})_+ - J$ is a solution of the hierarchy constructed from $L_\pm$ and the vacuum sequence $\mathfrak{J} = \{J^j | j \not\equiv 0 \,(\mathrm{mod}\, n)\}$.

We give below three known KdV type hierarchies arising from splittings discussed in the beginning of the section.

Example 2.6. *The $n \times n$ KdV_B hierarchy* ([7])
Let $B : sl(n,\mathbb{C}) \to \mathcal{N}_-$ be a linear map satisfying

(a) $\mathcal{B}_+ \subset \mathrm{Ker}(B)$,
(b) B satisfies

$$[B(\xi), B(\eta)] = B([B(\xi), \eta] + [\xi, B(\eta)]) \tag{2.12}$$

for all $\xi, \eta \in sl(n,\mathbb{C})$,
(c) $\dim([J, \mathcal{L}_-^B]_+) = n - 1$.

Note that B satisfies (2.12) if and only if

$$\mathcal{L}_-^B = \{\xi \in \mathcal{L} | \xi(\lambda) = B(\xi_{-1}) + \sum_{i<0} \xi_i \lambda^i\}. \tag{2.13}$$

is a Lie subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}(sl(n,\mathbb{C}))$. Let $\mathcal{L}_+ = \mathcal{L}_+(sl(n,\mathbb{C}))$ as in the standard splitting and $\mathcal{L}_- = \mathcal{L}_-^B$. Then $\mathcal{L}_\pm$ is a splitting of $\mathcal{L}(sl(n,\mathbb{C}))$. The hierarchy constructed from the splitting $\mathcal{L}_\pm$ and the vacuum sequence $\mathfrak{J}$ (as in (2.2)) is called the $n \times n$ *KdV_B hierarchy* (cf. [7]). Note that

$$Y := [J, \mathcal{L}_-^B]_+ \subset \mathcal{B}_-.$$

Example 2.7. *The $n \times n$ KdV hierarchy* [7]
Let

$$\Lambda = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1-\alpha^k}{1-\alpha} e_{k+1,k}, \quad \alpha = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{n}}. \tag{2.14}$$

A simple computation implies that $\{\Lambda^i b^{n-1} \Lambda^j | 0 \le i, j \le n-1\}$ is a basis of $sl(n,\mathbb{C})$ and $\{\Lambda^i b^{n-1} \Lambda^j | i, j \ge 0, i + j < n-1\}$ is basis for the subalgebra $\mathcal{N}_+$. It was proved in [7] that the linear map $B : sl(n) \to \mathcal{N}_-$ defined by

$$B(\Lambda^i b^{n-1} \Lambda^j) = \Lambda^i b^t \Lambda^j \tag{2.15}$$

satisfies conditions (a)-(c) given in Example 2.6. We call the hierarchy constructed from the splitting $(\mathcal{L}_+(sl(n,\mathbb{C})), \mathcal{L}_-^B)$ given by this B and the

vacuum sequence $\mathfrak{J}$ as in (2.2) *the $n \times n$ KdV hierarchy*. The flows in this hierarchy are evolution equations on $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, Y)$, where

$$Y = [J, \mathcal{L}_-^B]_+ = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} u_i \Lambda^i \,\middle|\, u_i \in \mathbb{C} \right\}. \tag{2.16}$$

When $n = 2$, the only B which satisfies (2.12) is $B(e_{12}) = \pm e_{21}$. The 2×2 KdV hierarchy is the KdV hierarchy and the flow generated by J^3 is the KdV flow

$$q_t = \frac{1}{4}(q_{xxx} \pm 6qq_x).$$

When $n = 3$, the flow generated by J^2 in the 3×3 KdV hierarchy is the following coupled non-linear Schrödinger equations:

$$\begin{cases} (u_1)_t = -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}\, i\, (u_1)_{xx} + \frac{3-\sqrt{3}i}{3}(u_2)_x, \\ (u_2)_t = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}\, i\, (u_2)_{xx} + 2u_1(u_1)_x. \end{cases}$$

Example 2.8. *The $n \times n$ mKdV hierarchy* (Drinfeld-Sokolov [3])

Let $\mathcal{L}_\pm$ be the special splitting of $\mathcal{L}(sl(n, \mathbb{C}))$ defined by (2.1). The $n \times n$ modified KdV hierarchy is the hierarchy constructed from the splitting $\mathcal{L}_\pm$ of $\mathcal{L}(sl(n, \mathbb{C}))$ and the vacuum sequence $\mathfrak{J}$ (as in (2.2)). The flows in this hierarchy are evolution equations for maps $u = \mathrm{diag}(u_1, \ldots, u_n)$ with $\sum_{i=1}^n u_i = 0$.

Example 2.9. Let $\mathcal{L}_\pm = \mathcal{L}_\pm(sl(n, \mathbb{C}))$ be the standard splitting of $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(sl(n, \mathbb{C}))$. The flows in the hierarchy constructed from $\mathcal{L}_\pm$ and the vacuum sequence $\mathfrak{J}$ as ub (2.2) are evolution equations on $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, Y)$, where

$$Y = [J, \mathcal{L}_-]_+ = \mathbb{C}(e_{11} - e_{nn}) \oplus (\oplus_{i=2}^{n-1}(\mathbb{C}e_{i1} \oplus \mathbb{C}e_{n,i})).$$

By Proposition 2.4, $Q(u_f)_+ = J + u_f$ for formal inverse scattering solutions. But for general $u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, Y)$, the solution $Q(u)$ of (2.7) need not satisfy the condition $Q(u)_+ = J + u$. A direct but long computation shows that

$$u = u_0(e_{11} - e_{nn}) + u_{n-1}e_{n1} + \sum_{i=2}^{n-2}(u_{i-1}e_{i1} + v_{i-1}e_{n,n-i+1}) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, Y)$$

satisfies $Q(u)_+ = J + u$ if and only if u_i, v_i's satisfy a system of $n-1$ ordinary differential equations of the form

$$\begin{cases} v_i = c_i u_i + p_i, \quad 1 \le i \le n-2, \\ u_{n-1} = p_{n-1}, \end{cases}$$

where p_i is some differential polynomial of $u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_{i-1}$ in x variable for $1 \le i \le n-1$. The flows (2.8) leave such a u invariant and the restriction gives a system of partial differential equations for $u_0, \ldots, u_{n-2}$. For example, for $n = 2$, $u = \begin{pmatrix} u_0 & 0 \\ u_1 & -u_0 \end{pmatrix}$ satisfies $Q(u) = J + u$ if and only if

$$u_1 = (u_0)_x - u_0^2.$$

The flow generated by J^3 for such u gives the following evolution equation for u_0:

$$(u_0)_t = \frac{1}{4}((u_0)_{xxx} - 6((u_0)_x)^2).$$

Note that if u_0 is a solution of the above equation then $q = 2(u_0)_x$ is a solution of the KdV, i.e., $q_t = \frac{1}{4}(q_{xxx} - 6qq_x)$.

3. The gauge group action and quotient flows

We review the construction of Drinfeld-Sokolov's KdV type hierarchy associated to the Kac-Moody algebra $A^{(1)}_{n-1}$ (the *DS $A^{(1)}_{n-1}$-KdV hierarchy*). They show these flows are equivalent to the Gelfand-Dickey flows on the space of n-th order differential operators on the line. We give a different proof later.

Let $B_\pm, N_\pm, \mathcal{B}_\pm, \mathcal{N}_\pm$, and $\mathcal{G}_k$ be as in section 2, and J as in (2.3). Given an integer k, define a bi-linear form $\langle\,,\rangle_k$ on $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(sl(n,\mathbb{C}))$ as follows:

$$\langle \xi, \eta\rangle_k = \sum_j \operatorname{tr}(\xi_j \eta_{-j+k}), \tag{3.1}$$

the coefficient of λ^k of $\operatorname{tr}(\xi(\lambda)\eta(\lambda))$, where $\xi(\lambda) = \sum_j \xi_j\lambda^j$ and $\eta(\lambda) = \sum_j \eta_j\lambda^j$.

Let S be a linear subspace of $\mathcal{B}_-$. Define

$$\mathcal{M}(S) := \{L_u = \partial_x - (J + u) | u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{S})\}. \tag{3.2}$$

The group $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, N_-)$ acts on $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{L})$ by point-wise conjugation,

$$(g, \xi) \mapsto g\xi g^{-1}, \quad g \in N_-, \xi \in \mathcal{L}, \tag{3.3}$$

and further acts on the space of connections

$$\{\partial_x - \xi | \xi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{L})\}$$

by gauge transformation,

$$(g, \partial_x - \xi) \mapsto g(\partial_x - \xi)g^{-1} = \partial_x - (g\xi g^{-1} + g_x g^{-1}).$$

Note that for $g \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, N_-)$ and $\xi, \eta \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{L})$, we have

$$(g\xi g^{-1})_+ = g\xi_+ g^{-1}, \tag{3.4a}$$

$$\langle g\xi g^{-1}, g\eta g^{-1}\rangle_k = \langle \xi, \eta\rangle_k, \tag{3.4b}$$

where $\xi_\pm$ is the projection of ξ onto $\mathcal{L}_\pm$.

Lemma 3.1. *The gauge action of $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, N_-)$ on the space of connections, $\{\partial_x - \xi | \xi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{L})\}$, leaves the space*

$$\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}_-) = \{L_u = \partial_x - (J + u) | u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}_-)\}$$

invariant.

Proof. Given $u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}_-)$ and $\triangle \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, N_-)$, we note that

$$\begin{aligned}\triangle L_u \triangle^{-1} &= \partial_x - (\triangle(J+u)\triangle^{-1} + \triangle_x\triangle^{-1}) \\ &= \partial_x - (\triangle e_{n1}\triangle^{-1}\lambda + \triangle b\triangle^{-1} + \triangle u\triangle^{-1} + \triangle_x\triangle^{-1} \\ &= \partial_x - (e_{n1}\lambda + b + (\triangle b\triangle^{-1} - b) + \triangle u\triangle^{-1} + \triangle_x\triangle^{-1}) \\ &= \partial_x - (J + (\triangle b\triangle^{-1} - b) + \triangle u\triangle^{-1} + \triangle_x\triangle^{-1}).\end{aligned}$$

Some facts to note in the calculation are

$$[e_{n1}, \mathcal{N}_-] = 0, \quad \triangle b\triangle^{-1} - b \in \mathcal{N}_-, \quad \triangle u\triangle^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}_-.$$

□

Given $u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}_-)$ and $\triangle \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, N_-)$, define

$$\triangle * u := \triangle_x\triangle^{-1} + \triangle u\triangle^{-1} + \triangle b\triangle^{-1} - b.$$

Then we have

$$\triangle L_u \triangle^{-1} = L_{\triangle * u}. \tag{3.5}$$

Corollary 3.2. *The tangent space to the gauge group $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, N_-)$ orbit at L_u in $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}_-)$ is*

$$\{[L_u, \xi] = \xi_x - [b + u, \xi] | \xi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{N}_-)\}.$$

Corollary 3.3. *Let $u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}_-)$, and $Q(u) = J + \sum_{i\le 0} Q_i(u)\lambda^i$ be as in Theorem 2.2. Then*

1. $Q_0(u) - u \in \mathcal{N}_-$,
2. $Q(\triangle * u) = \triangle Q(u)\triangle^{-1}$.

Proof. (1) was proved in Theorem 2.2 (i).

It follows from $[L_u, Q(u)] = 0$ and $\triangle L_u\triangle^{-1} = L_{\triangle * u}$ that we have

$$\triangle[L_u, Q(u)]\triangle^{-1} = [L_{\triangle * u}, \triangle Q(u)\triangle^{-1}] = 0.$$

By the uniqueness part of Theorem 2.2, we get $Q(\triangle * u) = \triangle Q(u)\triangle^{-1}$. □

Definition 3.4. For each $j \not\equiv 0 \pmod n$, define the j-th flow on $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}_-)$ by

$$\partial_{t_j} L_u = \frac{\partial u}{\partial t_j} = [L_u, (Q(u)^j)_+], \tag{3.6}$$

where $\xi_+ = \sum_{i\ge 0} \xi_i\lambda^i$ if $\xi = \sum_i \xi_i\lambda^i$.

To see that (3.6) defines a flow on $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}_-)$, we note that $[L_u, Q(u)] = 0$ implies $[L_u, Q(u)^j] = 0$. So we have

$$[L_u, (Q(u))_+^j] = -[L_u, (Q(u)^j)_-].$$

The left-hand side of the above equality lies in $\mathcal{L}_+(sl(n, \mathbb{C}))$ and the right hand side is of the form

$$[e_{n1}, sl(n, \mathbb{C})] + \sum_{j<0} \xi_j\lambda^j.$$

Since $[e_{n1}, sl(n,\mathbb{C})] \subset \mathcal{B}_-$, we have $[L_u, (Q(u)^j)_+] \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}_-)$. Hence (3.6) is a flow equation on $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}_-)$.

Next we show that flow (3.6) commute with the gauge action.

Lemma 3.5. *Suppose $u_0, \tilde{u}_0 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}_-)$ such $L_{\tilde{u}_0} = \triangle L_{u_0} \triangle^{-1}$ for some $\triangle \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, N_-)$. If $u(x,t_j)$ is the solution of (3.6) with $u(x,0) = u_0(x)$, then*

$$\tilde{u} := \triangle * u = \triangle_x \triangle^{-1} + (\triangle b \triangle^{-1} - b) + \triangle u \triangle^{-1}$$

is the solution of (3.6) with $\tilde{u}(x,0) = \tilde{u}_0(x)$, or equivalently

$$\partial_{t_j} L_{\tilde{u}} = [L_{\tilde{u}}, (Q(\tilde{u})^j)_+].$$

Proof. Note that $L_{\tilde{u}} = \triangle L_u \triangle^{-1}$. By Corollary 3.3, $Q(\tilde{u}) = Q(\triangle * u) = \triangle Q(u) \triangle^{-1}$. But $(\triangle \xi^j \triangle^{-1})_+ = \triangle (\xi^j)_+ \triangle^{-1}$ for $\xi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{L})$. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_{t_j} L_{\tilde{u}} &= \partial_{t_j} \triangle(x) L_u \triangle(x)^{-1} = \triangle(x)(\partial_{t_j} L_u) \triangle(x)^{-1} \\
&= \triangle(x)[L_u, Q(u)^j_+] \triangle(x)^{-1} = [\triangle L_u \triangle^{-1}, \triangle (Q(u)^j)_+ \triangle^{-1}] \\
&= [L_{\tilde{u}}, (\triangle Q(u) \triangle^{-1})^j_+] = [L_{\tilde{u}}, (Q(\tilde{u}))_+].
\end{aligned}$$

□

The proof of Lemma 3.5 also gives

Corollary 3.6. *Let $\triangle \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, N_-)$, and $u, v \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}_-)$ such that $L_v = \triangle L_u \triangle^{-1}$, and $Q(u), Q(v)$ be solutions of (2.7) for u and v respectively. Then $\triangle Q(u) \triangle^{-1} = Q(v)$.*

In general $\partial_{t_1} L_u \neq \partial_x L_u$, but they lie in the same gauge orbit:

Proposition 3.7. *The flow ∂_{t_1} is equivalent to the flow given by ∂_x on $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}_-)$ under the gauge group $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, N_-)$.*

Proof. We use the same notation as in Theorem 2.2. By Corollary 3.3 (i), $Q_0(u) = u + v$ with some $v \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{N}_-)$. Compute directly to get

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_{t_1} L_u &= [L_u, Q(u)_+] = [\partial_x - (b+u), b + Q_0(u)] = [\partial_x - (b+u), b + u + v] \\
&= u_x + [L_u, v].
\end{aligned}$$

By Corollary 3.2, $(\partial_{t_1} - \partial_x)u$ is tangent to the gauge orbit. □

From Lemma 3.5 and Proposition 3.7 we get the main theorem of this section:

Theorem 3.8. *([3]) The flow (3.6) on $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}_-)$ induces a well-defined quotient flow on the orbit space (or the quotient) $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}_-)/C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, N_-)$. Moreover, we have $\partial_{t_1} = \partial_x$ on the orbit space.*

4. Cross section flows

We use the same notation as in section 3: For $u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}_-)$,

$$L_u = \partial_x - (J + u),$$

and for a linear subspace S of $\mathcal{B}_-$,

$$\mathcal{M}(S) = \{L_v = \partial_x - (J + v) | v \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, S)\}.$$

Let S_0 and S_1 be linear subspaces of $\mathcal{B}_-$ defined by

$$S_0 = \oplus_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathbb{C}\Lambda^i, \tag{4.1}$$

$$S_1 = \oplus_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathbb{C}e_{n,n-i}, \tag{4.2}$$

where Λ is given by (2.14). Note that $\mathcal{M}(S_0)$ is the phase spaces of the $n \times n$ KdV hierarchy.

Recall that $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, N_-)$ acts on $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}_-)$ by

$$g(\partial_x - (J + u))g^{-1} = \partial_x - (J + gug^{-1} + g_x g^{-1}).$$

In this section, we give a sufficient condition for a linear subspace S of $\mathcal{B}_-$ such that $\mathcal{M}(S)$ is a cross section for the $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, N_-)$ gauge action on $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}_-)$, i.e., every $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, N_-)$-orbit in $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}_-)$ meets $\mathcal{M}(S)$ exactly once. We also give an algorithm to compute the induced cross section flows from (3.6).

Proposition 4.1. *Suppose $S \subset \mathcal{B}_-$ is a linear subspace such that $\mathcal{M}(S) = \{L_u = \partial_x - (J+u) | u \in S\}$ is a cross-section of the gauge group $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, N_-)$ on $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}_-)$. Then the flows* (3.6) *induce flows on the cross section. Moreover, if S and $\tilde{S}$ are two different cross-sections, the induced flows on $\mathcal{M}(S)$ are gauge equivalent to the induced flows on $\mathcal{M}(\tilde{S})$.*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{M}(S)$ is a cross-section of the gauge group, there exists a projection $\pi : \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}_-) \to \mathcal{M}(S)$ such that $\pi \circ g = \pi$ for all $g \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, N_-)$. To obtain the flow on $\mathcal{M}(S)$, we simply project the flow on $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}_-)$ onto $\mathcal{M}(S)$ using the gauge group. It is more or less a tautology that the induced flows on $\mathcal{M}(S))$ and $\mathcal{M}(\tilde{S})$ are gauge equivalent. They will look very different as evolution equations, but will be gauge equivalent. □

Dirnfeld and Sokolov shows that $\mathcal{M}(S_1)$ is a *cross section* of the action of $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, N_-)$ on $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}_-)$, where S_1 is defined by (4.2). Below we include a proof of this because the proof also gives rise to a condition on affine subspace S such that $\mathcal{M}(S)$ is a cross section.

First we set up some notation. Let

$$\mathcal{G}_i = \oplus_{\ell - k = i} \mathbb{C}e_{k\ell}. \tag{4.3}$$

Then $[\mathcal{G}_i, \mathcal{G}_j] \subset \mathcal{G}_{i+j}$, $\mathcal{G}_i = 0$ if $|i| \geq n$. For $y = (y_{ij}) \in sl(n, \mathbb{C})$, let

$$\mathrm{tr}_k(y) = \sum_{j-i=k} y_{ij}. \tag{4.4}$$

Proposition 4.2. *([3]) Given $u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}_-)$, there exist unique $\triangle \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, N_-)$ and $\xi = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \xi_j e_{n,n-j} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, S_1)$ such that $\triangle L_u \triangle^{-1} = L_\xi$, i.e.,*

$$\triangle(\partial_x - (J+u))\triangle^{-1} = \partial_x - (J+\xi). \tag{4.5}$$

Moreover, let $u = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} u_{-j}$ with $u_{-j} \in \mathcal{G}_{-j}$, and $\xi = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \xi_j e_{n,n-j}$. Then

$$\xi_j = \mathrm{tr}_{-j}(u_{-j}) + \eta_j, \quad 1 \le j \le n-1, \tag{4.6}$$

where η_j is a polynomial in $u_0, u_{-1}, \dots, u_{-(j-1)}$ and their x derivatives.

Proof. Since $\triangle e_{n1} = e_{n1}\triangle = e_{n1}$, (4.5) is equivalent to

$$[\triangle, b] = -\triangle u - \triangle_x + \xi\triangle. \tag{4.7}$$

It is easy to check that $\mathrm{ad}(b) : \mathcal{G}_{-(j+1)} \to \mathcal{G}_{-j}$ is injective and the image is

$$[b, \mathcal{G}_{-(j+1)}] = \{\eta = \sum_{i=1}^{n-i} \eta_i e_{j+i,i} \in \mathcal{G}_{-j} | \mathrm{tr}_{-j}(\eta) := \sum_{i=1}^{n-j} \eta_i = 0\}. \tag{4.8}$$

Write $\triangle = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \triangle_{-j}$ and $u = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} u_{-j}$ with $\triangle_{-j}, u_{-j} \in \mathcal{G}_{-j}$. Because $\triangle \in N_-$, we have $\triangle_0 = \mathrm{I}$. Equate the $\mathcal{G}_0$ component of (4.8) to get $[\triangle_{-1}, b] = -u_0$. Since $\mathrm{tr}(u_0) = 0$, we have $\triangle_{-1} = \mathrm{ad}(b)^{-1}(u_0)$.

We will prove the remainder of this Proposition by induction. Suppose we have solved $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{j-1}$ and $\triangle_{-1}, \dots, \triangle_{-j}$. Note that $\xi_0 = 0$. Equate the $\mathcal{G}_{-j}$ component in (4.7) to get

$$[\triangle_{-(j+1)}, b] = -\sum_{i=0}^{j} \triangle_{-(j-i)} u_{-i} - (\triangle_{-j})_x + \sum_{i=0}^{j} \xi_i e_{n,n-i} \triangle_{-(j-i)}. \tag{4.9}$$

The above equation is solvable for $\triangle_{-(j+1)}$ if and only if the tr_{-j} of the right-hand side is zero, i.e.,

$$\mathrm{tr}_{-j}\left(-\sum_{i=0}^{j} \triangle_{-(j-i)} u_{-i} - (\triangle_{-j})_x + \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} \xi_i \triangle_{-(j-1)}\right) + \xi_j = 0. \tag{4.10}$$

This gives a formula for ξ_j in terms of $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{j-1}$ and $\triangle_{-1}, \dots, \triangle_{-j}$. Since $\mathrm{ad}(b)$ is injective on $\mathcal{G}_{-(j+1)}$, we can solve for $\triangle_{j+1}$. □

Definition 4.3. Given $L_u = \partial_x - (J+u) \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}_-)$, let S_1 be as in (4.2), $\xi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, S_1)$ and $\triangle \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, N_-)$ be as in Proposition 4.2 such that $\triangle L_u \triangle^{-1} = L_\xi$ or equivalently, (4.5) holds. Define $\Psi : \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}_-) \to \tilde{\mathcal{M}}(S_1)$ by

$$\Psi(\partial_x - (J+u)) = \partial_x - (J+\xi).$$

We call ξ the *GD variable* of u.

The proof of Proposition 4.2 gives a simple criterion on subspace S such that $\mathcal{M}(S)$ is a cross sections of the $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, N_-)$ gauge action on $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}_-)$:

Corollary 4.4. *Let $S = \oplus_{i=1}^{n-1}\mathbb{C}v_{-i}$ be a linear subspace of $\mathcal{B}_-$ with $v_{-i} \in \mathcal{G}_{-i}$ for $1 \le i \le n-1$. If*

$$\mathrm{tr}_{-j}(v_{-j}) \neq 0, \quad \text{for all } 1 \le j \le n-1,$$

then $\mathcal{M}(S)$ is a cross section of the $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, N_-)$ action on $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}_-)$. Hence the map Ψ defined by (4.3) maps $\mathcal{M}(S)$ onto $\mathcal{M}(S_1)$ isomorphically, where $S_1 = \oplus_{i=1}^{n-1}\mathbb{C}e_{n,n-i}$ as in (4.2).

We proved in a previous paper [7] that $\mathrm{tr}_{-j}(\Lambda^j) \neq 0$ (cf. Lemma 2.7 of [7]). So $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{S}_0)$ is a cross section, where S_0 is given by (4.1).

Corollary 4.5. *Let S_0 and S_1 be as defined by (4.1) and (4.2). Then*

1. *The restriction of $\Psi : \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}_-) \to \mathcal{M}(S_1)$ to $\mathcal{M}(S_0)$ is a bijection,*
2. *if $\Psi(L_u) = L_\xi$ with $u = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} u_j\Lambda^j$ and $\xi = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\xi_j e_{n,n-j}$, then*

$$\xi_j = \mathrm{tr}_{-j}(\Lambda^j)u_j + \eta_j,$$

where η_j is a polynomial in $u_1, \dots, u_{j-1}$ and their x derivatives.

We also need the following corollary later.

Corollary 4.6. *Given $\eta \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, B_-)$ and $L_\xi \in \mathcal{M}(S_1)$, if $\eta L_\xi \eta^{-1} \in \mathcal{M}(S_1)$, then $\eta = c\mathrm{I}_n$ for some constant c.*

Proof. Suppose $\eta L_\xi \eta^{-1} = L_{\tilde\xi} \in \mathcal{M}(S_1)$. Then $\eta(J+\xi)\eta^{-1} + \eta_x\eta^{-1} = \tilde\xi$. Hence we have

$$[b, \eta] = \eta\xi + \eta_x - \tilde\xi\eta. \tag{4.11}$$

Write $\eta = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\eta_{-i}$ with $\eta_{-i} \in \mathcal{G}_{-i}$. Equate the $\mathcal{G}_1$ component of (4.11) implies that $[\eta_0, b] = 0$. Hence $\eta_0 = h\mathrm{I}_n$ for some function h. Equate the $\mathcal{G}_0$ component of (4.11) to get $[b, \eta_{-1}] = h_x\mathrm{I}_n$. The left hand side has trace zero, so $h_x = 0$. Hence h is a constant c. □

4.7. The cross-section flow

If $\mathcal{M}(S)$ is a cross section of the $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, N_-)$ gauge action on $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{B}_-)$, then we can compute the induced cross section flow on $\mathcal{M}(S)$ as follows: By Proposition 4.2, given $v \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, S)$, there is a unique $\eta_j(v) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{N}_-)$ such that

$$[L_v, (Q(v)^j)_+ - \eta_j(v)] \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, S), \tag{4.12}$$

where ξ_+ is the projection with respect to the standard splitting of $\mathcal{L}$ and $Q(v)$ is the solution of (2.7) for $u = v$. In fact, $\eta_j(v)$ can be solved algebraically from (4.12) and entries η_j are polynomials in $v \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, S)$ and its x derivatives. The induced *cross section flow on $\mathcal{M}(S)$* is

$$\partial_{t_j} v = [L_v, (Q(v)^j)_+ - \eta_j(v)]. \tag{4.13}$$

We call the hierarchy of cross section flows (4.13) on $\mathcal{M}(S_1)$ the *DS $A_{n-1}^{(1)}$-KdV hierarchy*. Although cross section flows on various cross sections may look different, they are equivalent under the gauge group.

In general, the cross section flows (4.13) do not come from a splitting. In particular, the DS $A^{(1)}_{n-1}$-KdV hierarchy on $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{S}_1)$ does not come from a splitting. But the cross section flows on $\mathcal{M}(S_0)$ do come from a splitting, where S_0 is defined by (4.1). In fact, for $u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, S_0)$, we have $(Q(u)^j)_+ - \eta_j(u) = \pi_+(Q(u)^j)$, where π_+ is the projection of $\mathcal{L}$ with respect to the splitting of $n \times n$ KdV hierarchy given in Example 2.7.

5. Tau functions

Let $\langle\,,\rangle_k$ denote the bilinear form on $\mathcal{L}$ defined by (3.1). The usual choice of cocycle is (cf. [5])

$$w(\xi,\eta) = \langle \xi_\lambda, \eta\rangle_{-1} = \sum_j j\mathrm{tr}(\xi_j\eta_{-j}). \tag{5.1}$$

We will use ξ_λ to denote $\partial\xi/\partial\lambda$.

In this section, we assume that $\mathcal{L}_\pm$ is a splitting of $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(sl(n,\mathbb{C}))$ such that

$$\mathcal{L}_+ \subset \{\sum_{i\geq 0}\xi_i\lambda^i\}, \quad \mathcal{L}_- \subset \{\sum_{i\leq 0}\xi_i\lambda^i | \xi_0 \in \mathcal{N}_-\}. \tag{5.2}$$

Then $\langle\mathcal{L}_+,\mathcal{L}_+\rangle_{-1} = 0$ and $\mathcal{L}_\pm$ is compatible with the 2-cocyle w defined by (5.1), i.e., $w(\xi,\eta) = 0$ for all $\xi,\eta \in \mathcal{L}_+$ and for all $\xi,\eta\in\mathcal{L}_-$. We consider hierarchy constructed from such splitting and the sequence $\mathfrak{J} = \{J^j | j \not\equiv 0(\mathrm{mod}\, n)\}$, where $J = e_{n1}\lambda + b$ as in (2.3). Note that $[J,\mathcal{L}_-]_+ \subset \mathcal{B}_-$, where $\mathcal{B}_-$ is the space of lower triangular matrices in $sl(n,\mathbb{C})$. It turns out that all the splittings we have used in section 2 satisfy conditions (a) and (b).

It was proved in [8] that tau functions, which are complex valued functions of $t = (t_1,\ldots,t_N)$, are defined for the hierarchy constructed from $\mathcal{L}_\pm$ and the vacuum sequence $\mathfrak{J}$. The following integral formulas for partials of $\ln\tau_f$ are proved in [8]:

$$(\ln\tau_f)_{t_j} = \langle MJ^jM^{-1}, M_\lambda M^{-1}\rangle_{-1}, \tag{5.3}$$

$$(\ln\tau_f)_{t_1t_j} = \langle MJ^jM^{-1}, (J)_\lambda\rangle_{-1} = \langle MJ^jM^{-1}, e_{n1}\rangle_{-1}, \tag{5.4}$$

$$(\ln\tau_f)_{t_jt_k} = \langle MJ^jM^{-1}, \partial_\lambda(MJ^kM^{-1})_+\rangle_{-1}. \tag{5.5}$$

Here M is the reduced frame for the formal inverse scattering solution u_f given by $f \in L_-$. Note that formulas similar to these for other systems appear in many places as definition of tau functions, including the work of [1].

We will prove the following two results in this section:

- $(\ln\tau_f)_{t_it_j}$ is invariant under the $C^\infty(\mathbb{R},N_-)$ gauge transformations and only depends on the GD variable ξ of u_f (cf. Definition 4.3). In particular, $(\ln\tau_f)_{t_it_j}$ is independent of the splittings and $\mathrm{d}\,(\ln\tau)$ is defined for DS $A^{(1)}_{n-1}$-KdV hierarchy, where d is the differential with respect to $t_1,\ldots,t_N$.

- We can recover u_f from $\{(\ln\tau_f)_{t_1t_j}|1\le j\le n-1\}$ for the $n\times n$ KdV hierarchy.

The map $f\mapsto u_f$ is not injective. In fact, if $h\in L_-$ satisfying $hJ=Jh$, then $u_f=u_{fh}$ and the reduced frame $\tilde{M}$ for u_{fh} is equal to Mh, where M is the reduced frame for u_f. By (5.3), we see that $(\ln\tau_{fh})_{t_j}=(\ln\tau_f)_{t_j}+c_j$, where $c_j=\langle J^j,h_\lambda h^{-1}\rangle_{-1}$ is a constant. But the second partials of $\ln\tau_f$ and $\ln\tau_{fh}$ are the same.

Next we prove that $(\ln\tau_f)_{t_jt_k}$ only depends on the GD variables of u_f. So they are gauge invariant.

Proposition 5.1. *Let $L_\pm$ be a splitting of $\mathcal{L}=\mathcal{L}(sl(n,\mathbb{C}))$ satisfying (5.2), $J=e_{n1}\lambda+b$ as in (2.3), $f\in L_-$, and M and ξ the reduced frame and GD variable of the formal inverse scattering solution u_f of the hierarchy constructed from $\mathcal{L}_\pm$ and $\mathfrak{J}=\{J^j|j\not\equiv 0\,(\mathrm{mod}\,n)\}$ respectively. Then*

(i) $(\ln\tau_f)_{t_1t_j}=\langle Q(\xi)^j,e_{n1}\rangle_{-1}$,
(ii) $(\ln\tau_f)_{t_jt_k}=\langle Q(\xi)^j,\partial_\lambda(Q(\xi)^k)_+)\rangle_{-1}$,

where $Q(\xi)$ is the solution of (2.7) for ξ.

Proof. Let $L_{u_f}=\partial_x-(J+u_f)$. By definition of the GD variable (Definition 4.3), there exists a unique $\triangle(t)\in N_-$ independent of λ such that $L_\xi=\triangle L_u\triangle^{-1}$. By Proposition 2.4, $L_{u_f}=M(\partial_x-J)M^{-1}$ and $Q(u_f)=MJM^{-1}$ is the solution of (2.7) for u_f. So $L_\xi=\triangle L_u\triangle^{-1}=\triangle M(\partial_x-J)M^{-1}\triangle^{-1}$, which implies that $[\partial_x-(J+\xi),\triangle MJM^{-1}\triangle^{-1}]=0$. Hence

$$Q(\xi)=\triangle MJM^{-1}\triangle^{-1}$$

is the solution for (2.7) for ξ. Since $\triangle$ is independent of λ, we have

$$\triangle\partial_\lambda((Q^k(u_f))_+)\triangle^{-1}=\partial_\lambda(\triangle Q^k(u_f)\triangle^{-1})_+.$$

Use (5.5) and the above equations to see the following.

$$\begin{aligned}(\ln\tau_f)_{t_jt_k}&=\langle Q(u_f)^j,\partial_\lambda(Q(u_f)^k)_+\rangle_{-1}\\&=\langle\triangle Q(u_f)^j\triangle^{-1},\triangle\partial_\lambda(Q(u_f)^k)_+\triangle^{-1}\rangle_{-1}=\langle Q(\xi)^k,\partial_\lambda(Q(\xi)^j)_+)\rangle_{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

This proves that $(\ln\tau_f)_{t_jt_k}$ only depends on the GD variable ξ of u_f. □

We need explicit formula for the first n terms of the solution $Q(\xi)$ of (2.7) as a power series in J for $\xi\in C^\infty(\mathbb{R},S_1)$.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $Q(\xi)=J+\sum_{i=0}^\infty h_{-i}J^{-i}$ be the solution of (2.7) for $\xi=\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\xi_je_{n,n-j}$. Then $h_0=0$ and*

$$h_{-j}=\frac{1}{n}\xi_j\mathbf{c}+\zeta_j,\quad 1\le j\le n-1,\tag{5.6}$$

$\mathbf{c}=\mathrm{diag}(1,\dots,1,-(n-1))$, and ζ_j is a polynomial of $\xi_1,\dots,\xi_{j-1}$ and their t_1 derivatives.

Proof. We use (2.5) and a simple computation to show that

$$\xi = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \xi_i e_{nn} J^{-i}. \tag{5.7}$$

Write $Q(\xi) = J + \sum_{i\le 0} Q_i(u)\lambda^i$. By Corollary 3.3, $Q_0(\xi) - \xi \in \mathcal{N}_-$. So $Q_0(\xi) \in \mathcal{N}_-$. It follows from (2.5) that $Q(\xi)$ as a power series of J has the form

$$Q(\xi) = J + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} h_{-i} J^{-i}, \tag{5.8}$$

Note that the coefficient of J^0 in the expansion of $Q(\xi)$ is zero.

Since $Q(\xi)$ is the solution of (2.7), we get

$$\begin{cases} [\partial_x - (J + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} A_{-i} J^{-i}),\ J + \sum_{i<0} h_i J^i] = 0, \\ (J + \sum_{i<0} h_i J^i)^n = \lambda \mathrm{I}_n, \end{cases} \tag{5.9}$$

where $A_i = \xi_i e_{nn}$ for $1 \le i \le n-1$. Write

$$h_{-j} = \mathrm{diag}(h_{-j1}, \dots, h_{-jn}).$$

We will prove (5.6) by induction: For $j = 1$, compare coefficient of J^0 in the first equation of (5.9) and use (2.6) to get

$$[J, h_{-1} J^{-1}] + [A_{-1} J^{-1}, J] = 0.$$

By (2.6), we get

$$h_{-1}^{\sigma} - h_{-1} = A_{-1}^{\sigma} - A_{-1}.$$

This implies that

$$h_{-11} = \ldots = h_{-1,n-1}, \quad h_{-11} - h_{-1n} = \xi_1. \tag{5.10}$$

Compare coefficient of J^{n-2} in the second equation of (5.9) and use (2.6) to get

$$h_{-1} + h_{-1}^{\sigma} + \cdots + h_{-1}^{\sigma^{n-1}} = 0.$$

So we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} h_{-1i} = 0. \tag{5.11}$$

Solve (5.10) and (5.11) to get $h_{-1} = \frac{\xi_1}{n}\mathbf{c}$, where $\mathbf{c} = \mathrm{diag}(1, \dots, 1, -(n-1))$.

Next we define weights as follows:

$$w(\xi_i) = i, \quad w((\xi_i)^{(j)}) = i + j, \quad w(\zeta_1\zeta_2) = w(\zeta_1) + w(\zeta_2),$$

where $\xi^{(j)} = \partial_x^j \xi$. Fix $1 \le i < n-1$, assume that for $1 \le j \le i$ we have

$$h_{-j} = \frac{\xi_j}{n}\mathbf{c} + \zeta_j$$

and the weight $w(h_j) = j$, where ζ_j depends on $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{j-1}$. Compare coefficients of J^{-i} and J^{n-i-2} of the first and the second equations of (5.9) respectively and the induction hypothesis to get

$$h^{\sigma}_{-(i+1)} - h_{-(i+1)} = A^{\sigma}_{-(i+1)} - A_{-(i+1)} + \phi_i,$$
$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} h^{\sigma^k}_{-(i+1)} = \psi_i,$$

where ϕ_i and ψ_i are functions of $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_i$ and their t_1 derivatives and the weights of ϕ_i and ψ_i are $i+1$. These two equations imply that

$$h_{-(i+1)} = \frac{\xi_{i+1}}{n}\mathbf{c} + \zeta_{i+1},$$

where $\mathbf{c} = \mathrm{diag}(1, 1, \dots, -(n-1))$ and ζ_{i+1} is a function of $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_i$ and their t_1 derivatives. This proves (5.6) for $j = i+1$. □

The following Theorem shows that we can recover the GD variable of u_f from $\{(\ln \tau_\xi)_{t_1 t_j} | 1 \le j \le n-1\}$.

Theorem 5.3. *We use the same notation as in Proposition 5.1. Let $\xi = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \xi_i e_{n,n-i}$ denote the GD variable of u_f. Then*

$$(\ln \tau_f)_{t_1 t_j} = \frac{j}{n}\xi_j + y_j, \quad 1 \le j \le n-1, \tag{5.12}$$

where y_j's are polynomials in $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{j-1}$ and their t_1 derivatives.

Proof. By Proposition 2.4, the solution $Q(u_f)$ for (2.7) is MJM^{-1}, where M is the reduced frame for u_f. It follows from Proposition 5.1 that we have

$$(\ln \tau_f)_{t_1 t_j} = \langle Q(\xi)^j, e_{n1} \rangle_{-1}.$$

If y is a diagonal matrices, then it follows from (2.5) that we have

$$\langle yJ^i, e_{n1} \rangle_{-1} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } i \ne -1, \\ \mathrm{tr}(ye_{11}), & \text{if } i = -1. \end{cases}$$

By Lemma 5.2, $Q(\xi) = J + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} h_{-i} J^{-i}$ with h_{-i} given by (5.6) for $1 \le i \le n-1$. Hence

$$Q(\xi)^j = \sum_{i \le j} k_i J^i = (J + \sum_{i<0} h_i J^i)^j.$$

Therefore we can compute k_i in terms of h_k's. In particular, we have

$$k_{-1} = \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} J^{j-1-i} h_{-j} J^{-j} J^i + \beta_j(h_{-1}, \dots, h_{-(j-1)}).$$

This proves that

$$\begin{aligned}(\ln \tau_f)_{t_1 t_j} &= \langle k_{-1}, e_{n1} \rangle \\ &= \langle \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} h^{\sigma^{j-i-1}}_{-j} J^{-1}, e_{n1} \rangle + p_j(h_{-1}, \dots, h_{-(j-1)}).\end{aligned}$$

The first term is equal to $\sum_{i=1}^{j} h_{-ji}$, where $h_j = \operatorname{diag}(h_{j1}, \dots, h_{jn})$. Hence we have

$$(\ln \tau_f)_{t_1 t_j} = \sum_{i=1}^{j} h_{-ji} + p_j(h_{-1}, \dots, h_{-(j-1)}). \tag{5.13}$$

For $1 \le j \le n-1$, we use Lemma 5.2 to see that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{j} h_{-ji} = \frac{j}{n}\xi_j + \gamma_j,$$

where γ_j is a function of $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{j-1}$ and their t_1-derivative. So formula (5.12) follows. □

As a consequence of Corollary 4.4, Proposition 4.2 and Theorem 5.3, we have the following.

Corollary 5.4. *Let $\mathcal{L}_\pm$ and J as in Proposition 5.1. Suppose $[J, \mathcal{L}_-]_+ = \oplus_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathbb{C} v_i$ with $v_i \in \mathcal{G}_i$ and $\operatorname{tr}_{-i}(v_i) \neq 0$ for all $1 \le i \le n-1$, where $\mathcal{G}_k$ and tr_k are defined by (4.3) and (4.4) respectively. Then given $f \in L_-$, we can recover the formal inverse scattering solution u_f from $\{(\ln \tau_f)_{t_1 t_i} | 1 \le i \le n-1\}$.*

Corollary 5.5. *We can recover the formal inverse scattering solution u_f with scattering data f from $\{(\ln \tau_f)_{t_1 t_j} | 1 \le j \le n-1\}$ for the $n \times n$ KdV hierarchy.*

6. Virasoro action on tau functions

In this section we assume $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(sl(n, \mathbb{C}))$, and $\mathcal{L}_\pm$ is a splitting of $\mathcal{L}$ such that $\mathcal{L}_+ = \mathcal{L}_+(sl(n, \mathbb{C}))$ as in the standard splitting and $\mathcal{L}_- \subset \mathcal{N}_- + \mathcal{L}_-(sl(n, \mathbb{C}))$. Then $\mathcal{L}_\pm$ satisfies (5.2) given in the beginning of 5. The main goal is to prove that the Virasoro vector fields constructed in [8] on $\ln \tau_f$ of the hierarchy given by $\mathcal{L}_\pm$ and $\mathfrak{J} = \{J^j | j \not\equiv 0 \pmod n\}$ are partial differential operators of $\ln \tau_f$. Here $J = e_{n1}\lambda + b$ as defined by (2.3).

Let $V(t) = \exp(\sum_{j=1}^{N} t_j J^j)$ be the vacuum frame defined by (2.10). Here we assume

$$t_{kn} = 0, \quad k \ge 1.$$

Given $f \in L_-$, factor $V(t) f^{-1} = M(t)^{-1} E(t)$ with $M(t) \in L_-$ and $E(t) \in L_+$. Recall that the formal inverse scattering solution

$$u_f = (MJM^{-1})_+ - J = E_{t_1} E^{-1} - J$$

and M is the reduced frame of u_f.

The positive Virasoro algebra $\mathcal{V}_+$ is the Lie algebra $\mathcal{V}_+ = \{\xi_\ell | \ell \ge -1\}$ with the bracket relations

$$[\xi_j, \xi_k] = (k-j)\xi_{j+k}, \quad \forall\ j, k \ge -1.$$

An action of $\mathcal{V}_+$ on N is a sequence of tangent vector fields X_j on N satisfies

$$[X_j, X_k] = (k-j)X_{j+k}, \quad j,k \geq -1.$$

We call these X_j *Virasoro vector fields on* N.

Set

$$\Xi = \frac{1}{n}\mathrm{diag}(0, 1, \ldots, (n-1)). \tag{6.1}$$

We proved in [8] that

$$\delta_\ell(f) = -(\lambda^\ell(\lambda f_\lambda f^{-1} + f\Xi f^{-1}))_- f \tag{6.2}$$

are Virasoro vector fields on L_- and the induced Virasoro vector fields on reduced frames and $\ln \tau_f$ are

$$\delta_\ell(M)M^{-1} = -(\lambda^\ell E(\lambda f_\lambda f^{-1} + f\Xi f^{-1})E^{-1})_-, \tag{6.3}$$

$$\delta_\ell(\ln \tau_f) = \langle \lambda^\ell E(\lambda f_\lambda f^{-1} + f\Xi f^{-1})E^{-1}, \lambda E_\lambda E^{-1}\rangle_0. \tag{6.4}$$

Henceforth in this section we use the following notations:

$$\Gamma f = \lambda f_\lambda + f\Xi, \tag{6.5}$$

$$\hat{\Gamma} f = \lambda f_\lambda + [f, \Xi], \tag{6.6}$$

where Ξ is defined by (6.1). Note that $\hat{\Gamma}$ is a derivation, i.e.,

$$\hat{\Gamma}(f_1 f_2) = (\hat{\Gamma} f_1) f_2 + f_1 \hat{\Gamma}(f_2). \tag{6.7}$$

The *degree* of $\xi \in \mathcal{L}(sl(n, \mathbb{C}))$ is k if $\xi(\lambda) = \sum_{i \leq k} \xi_i \lambda^i$.

We need the following two lemmas to write the formula (6.3) in a better form. Both lemmas can be proved by direct computations.

Lemma 6.1. *Let $\hat{\Gamma}$ be defined by* (6.6)*, $J = e_{n1}\lambda + b$ as in* (2.3)*, and $V(t)$ the vacuum frame defined by* (2.10)*. Then we have*

$$\hat{\Gamma} J = \frac{1}{n} J, \quad \hat{\Gamma} J^k = \frac{k}{n} J^k, \tag{6.8}$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{J}} := (\hat{\Gamma} V)V^{-1} = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^{N} k t_k J^k, \tag{6.9}$$

$$(\lambda^\ell \hat{\Gamma} V(t))V(t)^{-1} = \lambda^\ell \hat{\mathcal{J}} = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^{N} k t_k J^{n\ell + k}, \tag{6.10}$$

where $t_{nk} = 0$.

Lemma 6.2. *Let E and M be the frame and reduced frame for the formal inverse scattering solution u_f. Then we have*

$$E((\Gamma f) f^{-1})E^{-1} + \lambda E_\lambda E^{-1} = (\Gamma M)M^{-1} + M\hat{\mathcal{J}}M^{-1}, \tag{6.11}$$

where $\hat{\mathcal{J}}$ is defined by (6.9)*.*

Theorem 6.3. *The Virasoro vector fields* (6.3) *on the reduced frame M is given by*

$$\delta_\ell(M)M^{-1} = -(\lambda^\ell(\Gamma M)M^{-1} + \lambda^\ell M\hat{\mathcal{J}}M^{-1})_-. \tag{6.12}$$

Here $\hat{\mathcal{J}}$ is defined by (6.9)*, Γ is defined by* (6.5)*, and $\ell \geq -1$.*

Since $u_f = (MJM^{-1})_+ - J$, we have

$$\delta_\ell u_f = -[(\delta_\ell M)M^{-1}, MJM^{-1}]_+.$$

Note that Ξ is diagonal the diagonal entries of J^m are zero if $m \not\equiv 0(\mathrm{mod}\, n)$. So $\langle M\Xi M^{-1}, MJ^{n\ell+k}M^{-1}\rangle_{-1} = 0$ if $k \not\equiv 0\,(\mathrm{mod}\, n)$. This implies the following.

Corollary 6.4. *The $\mathcal{V}_+$-action on u_f is given by*

$$\delta_\ell u_f = -[(\lambda^{\ell+1}M_\lambda M^{-1})_-, J+u]_+ - \sum_{k\not\equiv 0(\mathrm{mod}\, n)} \frac{kt_k}{n}[(MJ^{n\ell+k}M^{-1})_-, J+u]_+.$$

Note that entries of $Q(u_f) = MJM^{-1}$ are differential polynomials of u_f, but entries of $M_\lambda M^{-1}$ are not. So the Virasoro vector fields on u_f are not given by differential operators.

Theorem 6.5. *The Virasoro vector fields* (6.4) *on $\mathcal{X} = \ln\tau_f$ are given by the following formulas:*

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_\ell\mathcal{X} &= \frac{1}{n}\sum_{k\geq 1} kt_k\mathcal{X}_{t_{n\ell+k}} + \frac{1}{2n}\sum_{k=1}^{n\ell-1}\left(\mathcal{X}_{t_k}\mathcal{X}_{t_{n\ell-k}} + \mathcal{X}_{t_kt_{n\ell-k}}\right)\\
&\quad + (\frac{1}{2n} - \frac{1}{2})c_\ell(f), \quad \ell \geq 1,\\
\delta_0\mathcal{X} &= \frac{1}{n}\sum_{k\geq 1} kt_k\mathcal{X}_{t_k} + \frac{1}{2}c_0(f),\\
\delta_{-1}\mathcal{X} &= \frac{1}{n}\sum_{k>n} kt_k\mathcal{X}_{t_{k-n}} + \frac{1}{2n}\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k(n-k)t_kt_{n-k},
\end{aligned}$$

where $c_\ell(f) = \langle\lambda^\ell((\Gamma f)f^{-1})^2\rangle_0$ and Γ is the operator defined by (6.5)*. Here we assume $\mathcal{X}_{t_{nk}} = 0$ for all $k \geq 1$.*

We need several Lemmas to prove this theorem.

Lemma 6.6. *Let M denote the reduced frame of the formal inverse scattering solution u_f, and $Q := Q(u_f) = MJM^{-1}$. Then $Q^n = \lambda \mathrm{I}_n$, $[Q^i, Q^j] = 0$, and*

$$\lambda(Q^j)_\lambda = [(\Gamma M)M^{-1}, Q^j] + \frac{j}{n}Q^j, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n-1, \tag{6.13}$$

$$\mathrm{tr}(\lambda(Q^j)_\lambda Q^{n-j}) = j\lambda, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n-1. \tag{6.14}$$

Proof. By (2.5), $J^j = (b^t)^{n-j}\lambda + b^j$. So

$$Q^j = MJ^jM^{-1} = M((b^t)^{n-j}\lambda + b^j)M^{-1}.$$

Compute directly to get

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda(Q^j)_\lambda &= [\lambda M_\lambda M^{-1}, Q^j] + \lambda M(b^t)^{n-j}M^{-1}\\ &= [\Gamma MM^{-1} - M\Xi M^{-1}, Q^j] + \lambda M(b^t)^{n-j}M^{-1}\\ &= [\Gamma MM^{-1}, Q^j] + M(-[\Xi, (b^t)^{n-j}\lambda + b^j] + \lambda(b^t)^{n-j})M^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

A simple computation implies that

$$[\Xi, (b^t)^{n-i}] = \frac{n-i}{n}(b^t)^{n-i}, \quad [\Xi, b^i] = -\frac{i}{n}b^i.$$

So (6.13) follows.

We use (6.13), $\mathrm{tr}([\xi_1, \xi_2]\xi_3) = \mathrm{tr}(\xi_1[\xi_2, \xi_3])$, and $[Q^i, Q^j] = 0$ to compute

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{tr}(\lambda(Q^j)_\lambda, Q^{n-j}) &= \mathrm{tr}(([(\Gamma M)M^{-1}, Q^j] + \frac{j}{n}Q^j)Q^{n-j})\\ &= \mathrm{tr}((\Gamma M)M^{-1}[Q^j, Q^{n-j}]) + \frac{j}{n}\mathrm{tr}(Q^n) = j\lambda.\end{aligned}$$

This gives (6.14). □

Lemma 6.7. *Let M denote the reduced frame of the formal inverse scattering solution u_f with scattering data $f \in L_-$. If $k \geq 0$, then*

$$\langle(\Gamma M)M^{-1}, \lambda^k\rangle_0 = \langle\lambda^k(\Gamma f)f^{-1}\rangle_0.$$

Proof. By (6.11), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\langle\lambda^k(\Gamma M)M^{-1}\rangle_0 &= \langle\lambda^k(E(\Gamma f)f^{-1}E^{-1} + \lambda E_\lambda E^{-1} - M\hat{\mathcal{J}}M^{-1})\rangle_0\\ &= \langle\lambda^k(\Gamma f)f^{-1}\rangle_0 + \langle\lambda^{k+1}E_\lambda E^{-1}\rangle_0 - \langle\lambda^k\hat{\mathcal{J}}\rangle_0,\end{aligned}$$

where $\hat{\mathcal{J}}$ is given by (6.9). The degree of $\lambda E_\lambda E^{-1}$ is 1, so the second term is zero. If $k \geq 1$, then third term is zero. If $k = 0$, then the third term is equal to

$$\langle\hat{\mathcal{J}}\rangle_0 = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} kt_k\langle J^k\rangle_0 = 0.$$

□

Lemma 6.8. *Let M be the reduced frame for u_f, and $Q = MJ^jM^{-1}$. If $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ and $\eta \in \mathcal{L}$, then*

$$\langle\partial_\lambda\eta, \partial_\lambda(Q^i)_+\rangle_{-1} = 0. \tag{6.15}$$

Proof. Since $J^i = (b^t)^{n-i}\lambda + b^i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, Q^i has degree 1 in λ. So $(Q^i)_+ = \xi_0$ does not depend on λ. But $\partial\eta$ has no λ^{-1} term. □

Lemma 6.9. *Let M be the reduced frame for u_f, $P = (\Gamma M)M^{-1}$. Then for $0 \leq j \leq n-1$, we have*

$$\mathrm{tr}(P^2\lambda) = \mathrm{tr}(PQ^jPQ^{n-j}) - \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}([P, Q^j][P, Q^{n-j}]). \tag{6.16}$$

Proof. A direct computation implies that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{tr}([P,Q^j][P,Q^{n-j}]) &= 2\mathrm{tr}(PQ^jPQ^{n-j}) - 2\mathrm{tr}(Q^nP^2)\\ &= 2\mathrm{tr}(PQ^jPQ^{n-j}) - 2\mathrm{tr}(\lambda P^2).\end{aligned}$$

□

Note that for $j = 0$, the right hand side of (6.16) gives $\mathrm{tr}(P^2Q^n) = \mathrm{tr}(P^2\lambda)$.

Lemma 6.10. *Let $S, T \in \mathcal{L}$, and $\partial = \partial_\lambda$. Then*

$$\partial S_+ = (\partial S)_+, \quad \partial S_- = (\partial S)_-, \tag{6.17}$$

$$\langle \partial(ST)\rangle_{-1} = \langle \partial S, T\rangle_{-1} + \langle S, \partial T\rangle_{-1} = 0, \tag{6.18}$$

$$\langle \partial S, T\rangle_{-1} = \langle \partial S_+, T\rangle_{-1} + \langle \partial S, T_+\rangle_{-1}, \tag{6.19}$$

$$\langle \partial(\lambda S)_+, \partial T\rangle_{-1} = \langle \partial S_+, \partial(\lambda T)\rangle_{-1} + 2\langle S_+, \partial T\rangle_{-1}. \tag{6.20}$$

6.11. Proof of Theorem 6.5

By (6.4), we have

$$\delta_\ell \mathcal{X} = \langle \lambda^\ell E(\Gamma f) f^{-1}E^{-1}, \lambda E_\lambda E^{-1}\rangle_0.$$

We use (6.11),

$$\mathrm{tr}(\xi\eta) = \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}((\xi+\eta)^2) - \mathrm{tr}(\xi^2) - \mathrm{tr}(\eta^2)),$$

and $\langle \lambda^{\ell+2}(E_\lambda E^{-1})^2\rangle_0 = 0$ for $\ell \geq -1$ to get

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_\ell\mathcal{X} &= \frac{1}{2}\langle \lambda^\ell((\Gamma M)M^{-1} + M\hat{\mathcal{J}}M^{-1})^2\rangle_0 - \frac{1}{2}\langle \lambda^\ell((\Gamma f)f^{-1})^2\rangle_0\\ &= \frac{1}{2}\langle \lambda^\ell((\Gamma M)M^{-1})^2\rangle_0 + \frac{1}{2}\langle \lambda^\ell\hat{\mathcal{J}}^2\rangle_0 + \langle \lambda^\ell(\Gamma M)M^{-1}, M\hat{\mathcal{J}}M^{-1}\rangle_0 - \frac{1}{2}c_\ell(f)\\ &= \frac{1}{2}(I) + \frac{1}{2}(II) + (III) - \frac{1}{2}c_\ell(f),\end{aligned}$$

where $c_\ell(f) = \langle \lambda^\ell((\Gamma f)f^{-1})^2\rangle_0$ and $\hat{\mathcal{J}}$ as in (6.9). Note that

$$c_{-1}(f) = 0, \quad c_0(f) = \langle \Xi^2\rangle_0 = \frac{1}{n^2}\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} i^2.$$

First we compute (II). Recall that $J^k = (b^t)^{n-k}\lambda + b^k$ for $1 \leq k \leq n-1$, and $J^n = \lambda \mathrm{I}_n$. Since the degree of $\hat{J}^2$ is zero, we have $(II) = 0$ if $\ell > 0$. For $\ell = 0$, since the constant term of the expansion of $\hat{J}^2$ in λ is in $\mathcal{N}_+$, $(II) = 0$. Hence we have

$$(II) = 0, \quad \ell \geq 0.$$

For $\ell = -1$, we have $(II) = \langle \lambda^{-1}\hat{\mathcal{J}}^2\rangle_0 = \langle \lambda^{-1}\sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\frac{k(n-k)}{n^2}t_kt_{n-k}J^n\rangle_0$. This implies that

$$(II) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k(n-k)t_kt_{n-k}, \qquad \text{if } \ell = -1.$$

To compute (III), we write

$$(III) = \langle \lambda^\ell(\lambda M_\lambda M^{-1}), \sum_{k\geq 1} kt_k M J^k M^{-1}\rangle_0 + \langle \lambda^\ell M\Xi M^{-1}, M\hat{\mathcal{J}}M^{-1|}\rangle_0.$$

Note that if $k \not\equiv 0(\mathrm{mod}\, n)$, then

$$\langle M\Xi M^{-1}, MJ^kM^{-1}\rangle_0 = \langle \Xi, J^k\rangle_0 = 0. \tag{6.21}$$

So we have

$$\langle \lambda^\ell M\Xi M^{-1}, M\hat{\mathcal{J}}M^{-1}\rangle_0 = \langle \lambda^\ell \Xi, \hat{\mathcal{J}}\rangle_0 = 0. \tag{6.22}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}(III) &= \langle \lambda^\ell(\lambda M_\lambda M^{-1}), \sum_k kt_k MJ^kM^{-1}\rangle_0\\ &= \langle \lambda M_\lambda M^{-1}, \sum_k kt_k MJ^{k+n\ell}M^{-1}\rangle_0.\end{aligned}$$

It follows from $(\ln \tau_f)_{t_j} = \langle MJ^jM^{-1}, \lambda M_\lambda M^{-1}\rangle_0$ that we have

$$(III) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{k\geq 1} kt_k \mathcal{X}_{t_{n\ell+k}}, \quad \text{if } \ell \geq 0.$$

For $\ell = -1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(III) &= \langle M_\lambda M^{-1} + \lambda^{-1}M\Xi M^{-1}, M\hat{\mathcal{J}}M^{-1}\rangle_0\\ &= \langle M_\lambda M^{-1}, M\hat{\mathcal{J}}M^{-1}\rangle_0 + \langle \lambda^{-1}\Xi, \hat{\mathcal{J}}\rangle_0 = \langle M_\lambda M^{-1}, M\hat{\mathcal{J}}M^{-1}\rangle_0\\ &= \langle M_\lambda M^{-1}, \frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} kt_k MJ^kM^{-1}\rangle_0\\ &\quad + \langle M_\lambda M^{-1}, \frac{1}{n}\sum_{k>n} kt_k MJ^kM^{-1}\rangle_0\\ &= (i) + (ii).\end{aligned}$$

It follows from $J^n = \lambda \mathrm{I}_n$ that

$$(ii) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{k>n} kt_k \mathcal{X}_{t_{k-n}}.$$

The degree of $M_\lambda M^{-1}$ is -2 and the degree of MJ^kM^{-1} is 1 for $1 \leq k \leq n-1$. So we have $(i) = 0$. This proves that

$$(III) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{k>n} kt_k \mathcal{X}_{t_{k-n}}, \qquad \text{if } \ell = -1.$$

Next we compute (I). For $\ell = -1$, since the degree of $\lambda^{-1}((\Gamma M)M^{-1})^2$ in λ is -1, we have

$$(I) = 0, \quad \ell = -1.$$

If $\ell = 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned}(I) &= \langle((\Gamma M)M^{-1})^2\rangle_0 = \langle(\lambda M_\lambda M^{-1} + M\Xi M^{-1})^2\rangle_0 \\ &= \langle(\lambda M_\lambda M^{-1})^2\rangle_0 + \langle\Xi^2\rangle_0 + 2\langle\lambda M_\lambda M^{-1}, M\Xi M^{-1}\rangle_0.\end{aligned}$$

Since the degrees of the first term and the third term in λ are -2 and -1 respectively, we have

$$(I) = \langle\Xi^2\rangle_0 = \frac{1}{n^2}\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k^2 = c_0(f), \quad \text{if } \ell = 0.$$

To compute (I) for $\ell \geq 1$, we set $Q = MJM^{-1}$. Then $Q^j = MJ^jM^{-1}$ and $Q^n = \lambda \mathrm{I}_n$. Write

$$P = (\Gamma M)M^{-1} = \lambda M_\lambda M^{-1} + M\Xi M^{-1}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}(I) &= \langle\lambda^\ell P^2\rangle_0 = \langle\lambda^{\ell-1}\lambda P^2\rangle_0 = \langle\lambda^{\ell-1}Q^nP^2\rangle_0 \\ &= \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\langle\lambda^{\ell-1}Q^iQ^{n-i}P^2\rangle_0.\end{aligned}$$

A direct computation implies that

$$\mathrm{tr}([P,\xi][P,\eta]) = 2\mathrm{tr}(P\xi P\eta) - \mathrm{tr}(P^2(\xi\eta + \eta\xi)). \tag{6.23}$$

Let $\xi = Q^i$ and $\eta = Q^{n-i}$ in the above equation to see that

$$\begin{aligned}(I) &= \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\langle\lambda^{\ell-1}PQ^iPQ^{n-i}\rangle_0 - \frac{1}{2n}\langle\lambda^{\ell-1}[P,Q^i][P,Q^{n-i}]\rangle_0 \\ &= \frac{1}{n}(A) - \frac{1}{2n}(B).\end{aligned}$$

Let $\langle\eta\rangle_k$ = the coefficient of λ^k of $\mathrm{tr}(\eta(\lambda))$. Note that the degrees of P and PQ^i and $PQ^{n\ell-i}$ are 0, 1 and ℓ respectively, where $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ So we have

$$\begin{aligned}(A) &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\langle PQ^iPQ^{n\ell-i}\rangle_0 \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\left(\langle PQ^i\rangle_1\langle PQ^{n\ell-i}\rangle_{-1} + \sum_{k=0}^{\ell}\langle PQ^i\rangle_{-k}\langle PQ^{n\ell-i}\rangle_k\right).\end{aligned}$$

Since $PQ^i = (\lambda M_\lambda + M\Xi)((b^t)^{n-i}\lambda + b^i)M^{-1}$,

$$\langle PQ^i\rangle_1 = \langle\Xi, (b^t)^{n-i}\rangle_0 = 0, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n-1.$$

Hence we have

$$(A) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\sum_{k=0}^{\ell}\langle PQ^i\rangle_{-k}\langle PQ^{n\ell-i}\rangle_k = \sum_{i=0,k=0}^{n-1,\ell}\langle PQ^i\lambda^k\rangle_0\langle PQ^{n\ell-i}\lambda^{-k}\rangle_0.$$

Use $Q^n = \lambda \mathrm{I}$ to continue the computation and we get

$$(A) = \sum_{i=0,k=0}^{n-1,\ell} \langle PQ^{nk+i}\rangle_0 \langle PQ^{n\ell-(nk+i)}\rangle_0 = \sum_{j=0}^{n\ell} \langle PQ^j\rangle_0 \langle PQ^{n\ell-j}\rangle_0.$$

By (5.3), $\mathcal{X}_{t_j} = \langle Q^j, \lambda M_\lambda M^{-1}\rangle_0$. So

$$\langle Q^j, P\rangle_0 = \langle Q^j, \lambda M_\lambda M^{-1} + M\Xi M^{-1}\rangle_0 = \langle J^j, \Xi\rangle_0 + \mathcal{X}_{t_j}.$$

But $\langle J^j, \Xi\rangle_0 = 0$. Hence $\langle Q^j, P\rangle_0 = \mathcal{X}_{t_j}$ for $j \not\equiv 0(\mathrm{mod}\, n)$. Substitute this into (A) above to get

$$\begin{aligned}(A) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\ell} \langle PQ^{nk}\rangle_0 \langle PQ^{n(\ell-k)}\rangle_0 + \sum_{j=1,\, j\not\equiv 0(\mathrm{mod}\, n)}^{n\ell-1} \mathcal{X}_{t_j}\mathcal{X}_{t_{n\ell-j}} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\ell} \langle P\lambda^k\rangle_0 \langle P\lambda^{\ell-k}\rangle_0 + \sum_{j=1,\, j\not\equiv 0(\mathrm{mod}\, n)}^{n\ell-1} \mathcal{X}_{t_j}\mathcal{X}_{t_{n\ell-j}}\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 6.7, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k=0}^{\ell} \langle P\lambda^k\rangle_0 \langle P\lambda^{\ell-k}\rangle_0 &= \sum_{k=0}^{\ell} \langle (\Gamma f) f^{-1}\rangle_{-k} \langle (\Gamma f) f^{-1}\rangle_{-(\ell-k)} \\ &= \langle ((\Gamma f) f^{-1})^2\rangle_{-\ell} = \langle \lambda^\ell (\Gamma f) f^{-1})^2\rangle_0 = c_\ell(f).\end{aligned}$$

Thus we get

$$(A) = \sum_{j=1,\, j\not\equiv 0(\mathrm{mod}\, n)}^{n\ell-1} \mathcal{X}_{t_j}\mathcal{X}_{t_{n\ell-j}} + c_\ell(f).$$

Next we compute

$$\begin{aligned}(B) &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \langle \lambda^{\ell-1}[P, Q^i][P, Q^{n-i}]\rangle_0, \quad \text{by (6.13)}, \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \langle \lambda^{\ell-1}(\lambda(Q^i)_\lambda - \frac{i}{n}Q^i)(\lambda(Q^{n-i})_\lambda - \frac{n-i}{n}Q^{n-i})\rangle_0 \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \langle \lambda^{\ell-1}\lambda(Q^i)_\lambda \lambda(Q^{n-i})_\lambda\rangle_0 - \frac{i}{n}\langle \lambda^{\ell-1} Q^i \lambda(Q^{n-i})_\lambda\rangle_0 \\ &\qquad - \frac{n-i}{n}\langle \lambda^{\ell-1}\lambda(Q^i)_\lambda Q^{n-i}\rangle_0 + \frac{i(n-i)}{n^2}\langle Q^{n\ell}\rangle_0.\end{aligned}$$

By (6.14), the second and third terms are zero. The fourth term is $\frac{i(n-i)}{n}\delta_{\ell,0}$. So for $\ell \geq 1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}(B) &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\langle \lambda^{\ell-1}\lambda(Q^i)_\lambda \lambda(Q^{n-i})_\lambda\rangle_0 = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\langle \lambda^{\ell+1}\partial_\lambda Q^i \partial_\lambda Q^{n-i}\rangle_0\\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\langle \lambda^{\ell}\partial_\lambda Q^i \partial_\lambda Q^{n-i}\rangle_{-1} = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\langle \partial_\lambda(\lambda^\ell Q^i) - \ell\lambda^{\ell-1}Q^i, \partial_\lambda Q^{n-i}\rangle_{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Use (6.14) to get

$$\langle \lambda^{\ell-1}Q^i, \partial_\lambda Q^{n-i}\rangle_{-1} = (n-i)\langle \lambda^{\ell-1}\mathrm{I}_n\rangle_0,$$

which is zero if $\ell \geq 1$. So we have

$$\begin{aligned}(B) &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\langle \partial(\lambda^\ell Q^i), \partial Q^{n-i}\rangle_{-1} = \langle \partial(\lambda^\ell), \partial\lambda\rangle_{-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\langle \partial(\lambda^\ell Q^i), \partial Q^{n-i}\rangle_{-1}\\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\langle \partial(\lambda^\ell Q^i), \partial Q^{n-i}\rangle_{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Apply (6.19) to the above equation to get

$$(B) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\langle \partial(\lambda^\ell Q^i)_+, \partial Q^{n-i}\rangle_{-1} + \langle \partial(\lambda^\ell Q^i), \partial(Q^{n-i})_+\rangle_{-1}$$

But for $1 \leq j \leq n-1$, Q^j has degree 1, so $\partial_\lambda Q^i$ is independent of λ. But $\partial_\lambda \xi$ has no λ^{-1} term. Hence the second term of the right hand side of (B) given above is zero and we get

$$(B) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\langle \partial(\lambda^\ell Q^i)_+, \partial Q^{n-i}\rangle_{-1}.$$

Apply (6.20) ℓ times and use (6.15) to get

$$\begin{aligned}(B) &= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\langle \partial(Q^i)_+, \partial(\lambda^\ell Q^{n-i})\rangle_{-1} + 2\sum_{k=1}^{\ell}\langle (\lambda^{\ell-k}Q^i)_+, \partial(\lambda^{k-1}Q^{n-i})\rangle_{-1}\\ &= 2\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\sum_{k=1}^{\ell}\langle (\lambda^{\ell-k}Q^i)_+, \partial(\lambda^{k-1}Q^{n-i})\rangle_{-1}\\ &= 2\sum_{i=1,k=1}^{n-1,\ell}\langle (Q^{n(\ell-k)+i})_+, \partial(Q^{nk-i})\rangle_{-1}\\ &= -2\sum_{i=1,k=1}^{n-1,\ell}\langle \partial(Q^{n(\ell-k)+i})_+, (Q^{nk-i})\rangle_{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

By (5.5), we have $\mathcal{X}_{t_jt_k} = \langle Q^j, \lambda\partial_\lambda(Q^k)_+\rangle_0$. Therefore

$$(B) = -2\sum_{i=1,k=1}^{n-1,\ell} \mathcal{X}_{t_{n\ell-nk-i}t_{nk-i}} = -2\sum_{j=1}^{n\ell-1} \mathcal{X}_{t_jt_{n\ell-j}}.$$

So we have

$$(I) = \frac{A}{n} - \frac{B}{2n} = \frac{1}{n}\left(\sum_{j=1,j\neq 0}^{n\ell-1} \mathcal{X}_{t_j}\mathcal{X}_{t_{n\ell-j}} + \mathcal{X}_{t_jt_{n\ell-j}} + c_\ell(f)\right), \quad \text{if } \ell \geq 1.$$

Collecting terms to get the formulas for $\delta_\ell\mathcal{X}$. □

Remark 6.12. The splittings of the $n\times n$ KdV$_B$ hierarchy satisfy (5.2). So the Virasoro vector fields on tau functions for the $n\times n$ KdV$_B$ hierarchy are given by partial differential operators, which are independent of the choice of B modulo constants.

7. Equivalence of GD$_n$-flows and $n\times n$ KdV flows

Let

$$S_0 = \oplus_{i=1}^{n-1}\mathbb{C}\Lambda^i,$$
$$S_1 = \oplus_{i=1}^{n-1}\mathbb{C}e_{ni},$$

where Λ is defined by (2.14). We have seen in section 4 that the map $\Psi : \mathcal{M}(S_0) \to \mathcal{M}(S_1)$ defined by Definition 4.3 is a bijection from the phase space of the $n\times n$ KdV to the phase space of the DS $A_{n-1}^{(1)}$ hierarchies. The phase space of the GD$_n$ hierarchy is

$$\mathfrak{P}_n = \{P_\xi = \partial_x^n - (\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\xi_j\partial_x^{j-1}) | \xi = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\xi_i e_{ni}\}.$$

It is clear that $\phi : \mathcal{M}(S_1) \to \mathfrak{P}_n$ defined by $\phi(L_\xi) = P_\xi$ is a bijection, where $L_\xi = \partial_x - (J+\xi)$ and $P_\xi = \partial_x^n - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\xi_i\partial_x^{i-1}$.

Drinfeld and Sokolov [3] shows that the DS $A_{n-1}^{(1)}$ hierarchy is the GD$_n$ flows constructed from pseudo-differential operators under the bijection ϕ. Hence the $n\times n$ KdV flows correspond to the GD$_n$ flows under the bijection $\hat{\Psi} = \phi\circ\Psi$ from $\mathcal{M}(S_0)$ to $\mathfrak{P}_n$. This section gives a different proof and an algorithm to construct the solution u_f and its reduced frame of the $n\times n$ KdV hierarchy from a solution $P_{\xi(t)}$ of the GD$_n$ hierarchy such that $\hat{\Psi}(u_f) = P_\xi$. We need this to show that the Virasoro action we obtain in section 6 is the same given in the physics literature and contained in the survey article [9] by van Moerbeke.

We first review the construction of GD_n hierarchy from pseudo-differential operators (cf. [2]). For a pseudo-differential operator $\tau = \sum_{j\le n_0} \tau_j \partial_x^j$, we use the following notations:

$$\tau_+ = \sum_{j\ge 0} \tau_j \partial_x^j, \quad \tau_- = \sum_{j<0} \tau_j \partial_x^j,$$
$$\tau' = \sum_{j\le n_0} \tau_j' \partial_x^j, \quad \tau_j' = \frac{\partial \tau_j}{\partial x},$$
$$\tau^{(i)} = \sum_{j\le n_0} (\partial_x^i \tau_j)\partial_x^j.$$

The flows are defined as follows:

$$P_{t_j} = [(P^{j/n})_+, P], \quad j \ge 1, j \not\equiv n (\mathrm{mod}\, n). \tag{7.1}$$

Here $P^{\frac{1}{n}}$ is the pseudo-differential operator whose n-th power is P. Note that if $j = kn$ for some positive integer, then the flow vanishes.

It is known (cf. [9]) that if $P_{\xi(t)} = \partial_x^n - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \xi_j(t)\partial_x^{j-1}$ is a solution of the GD_n-hierarchy, then there is a pseudo-differential operator $\sigma(t)$ of the form

$$\sigma(t) = \mathrm{I} + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{-j}(t)\partial_x^{-j} \tag{7.2}$$

such that

$$P_{\xi(t)} = \sigma(t)\partial_x^n \sigma^{-1}(t), \tag{7.3}$$
$$\frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial t_j}\sigma^{-1} = -(\sigma \partial^j \sigma^{-1})_-. \tag{7.4}$$

Recall that the phase spaces of the $n \times n$ KdV hierarchy is $\mathcal{M}(S_0) = \{L_u = \partial_x - (J + u) | u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, S_0)\}$ and the map $\hat{\Psi} : \mathcal{M}(S_0) \to \mathfrak{P}_n$ defined by

$$\hat{\Phi}(L_u) = P_\xi, \quad \text{if } \Psi(L_u) = L_\xi, \tag{7.5}$$

is a bijection. By Proposition 4.2, there exist unique smooth maps $\triangle : \mathbb{R} \to N_-$ and $\xi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, S_1)$ satisfying

$$\triangle(\partial_x - (J + u))\triangle^{-1} = \partial_x - (J + \xi).$$

Theorem 7.1. *The flows of the $n \times n$ KdV hierarchy correspond to the flows of the GD_n hierarchy under the bijection $\hat{\Psi} : \mathcal{M}(S_0) \to \mathfrak{P}_n$ defined by $P(L_u) = P_\xi$, where ξ is the GD variable for u.*

7.2. Outline of the proof of Theorem 7.1

We identify t_1 with x. Given a solution $P(t) = \partial_x^n - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \xi_i(t)\partial_x^{i-1}$ of the GD_n hierarchy, let $\sigma(t)$ be as in (7.2) that satisfying (7.3) and (7.4), i.e., $P(t) = \sigma(t)\partial_x^n \sigma(t)^{-1}$.

Step 1. We use $\sigma(t)$ to construct a parallel frame $\tilde{F}$ for the connection $(\partial_x - (J + \xi))$, i.e., $\tilde{F}_x \tilde{F}^{-1} = J + \xi$, where $\xi = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \xi_i e_{ni}$.

Step 2. Set $Q(t)=\tilde{F}(t)V(t)^{-1}$, where $V(t)=\exp(\sum_{j=1}^{N}t_jJ^j)$ is the vacuum frame. Factor $Q(t)=\eta_+(t)M(t)$ with $\eta_+(t)\in L_+$ and $M(t)\in L_-$, where $L_\pm$ is the splitting that gives the $n\times n$ KdV hierarchy. Then we prove that
   (i) η_+ is in the subgroup N_- of strictly lower triangular matrices and independent of λ,
   (ii) $M_{t_j}M^{-1}=-(MJ^jM^{-1})_-$, for all $j\geq 0$ and $j\not\equiv 0 \pmod n$,
   (iii) $(MJM^{-1})_+$ is of the form $J+u$ for some solution u of the $n\times n$ KdV hierarchy.

Step 3. We prove that ξ is the GD variable for u. Hence $\hat{\Psi}$ maps the $n\times n$ KdV flows to the GD_n flows.

The following Lemma proves Step 1.

Lemma 7.3. *Let $t_1=x$, $P_{\xi(t)}=\partial_x^n-\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\xi_i(t)\partial_x^{i-1}$ a solution of the GD_n-hierarchy, and $\sigma(t)$ a pseudo-differential operator of the form (7.2) satisfying (7.3) and (7.4). Let V_1 denote the first row of $V(t)=\exp(\sum_{j=1}^{N}t_jJ^j)$. Let $\tilde{F}$ denote the matrix valued map whose first row $\tilde{F}_1$ is $\sigma(t)(V_1)$ and the $(i+1)$-th row $\tilde{F}_{i+1}=(\tilde{F}_i)_x$ for $1\leq i\leq n-1$. Then*

(1) *$L_\xi\tilde{F}=0$, where $L_\xi=\partial_x-(J+\xi)$ and $\xi=\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\xi_ie_{ni}$.*
(2) *$\tilde{F}(t)=S(\sigma)V(t)$, where $S(\sigma)=(S_{ij}(\sigma))$ is defined by by*

$$S_{ij}(\sigma)=\begin{cases}0, & \text{if } i<j,\\ C_{i-1,j-1}\sigma^{(i-j)}, & \text{if } i\geq j,\end{cases} \tag{7.6}$$

where $C_{i-1,j-1}=\frac{(i-1)!}{(j-1)!(i-j)!}$ is the binomial coefficient.

Proof. (1) We need to prove $\tilde{F}_x=(J+\xi)\tilde{F}$, i.e.,

$$\begin{cases}(\tilde{F}_i)_x=\tilde{F}_{i+1}, & 1\leq i\leq n-1,\\ (\tilde{F}_n)_x=(\lambda+\xi_1)\tilde{F}_1+\xi_2\tilde{F}_2+\cdots+\xi_{n-1}\tilde{F}_{n-1}.\end{cases} \tag{7.7}$$

The first equations of (7.7) follows from the definition of $\tilde{F}$. To prove the second equation of (7.7) is equivalent to prove

$$\partial_x^n\tilde{F}_1=(\lambda+\xi_1)\tilde{F}_1+\xi_2\partial_x\tilde{F}_1+\cdots+\xi_{n-1}\partial_x^{n-2}\tilde{F}_1. \tag{7.8}$$

To prove this, we proceed as follows: Let V_i denote the i-th row of V. Since $\partial_xV=JV$, we have

$$\begin{cases}\partial_xV_i=V_{i+1}, & 1\leq i\leq n-1,\\ \partial_xV_n=\lambda V_1.\end{cases} \tag{7.9}$$

So $\partial_x^nV_1=\lambda V_1$. But $P_\xi=\sigma\partial_x^n\sigma^{-1}$ and $\tilde{F}_1=\sigma(t)\tilde{V}_1$ imply that

$$P_\xi\tilde{F}_1=P_\xi\sigma V_1=\lambda\tilde{F}_1.$$

Hence $(P_\xi-\lambda)\tilde{F}_1=0$. But

$$P_\xi-\lambda=\partial_x^n-((\lambda+\xi_1)+\xi_2\partial_x+\cdots+\xi_{n-1}\partial_x^{n-2}).$$

This proves (7.8) and $L_\xi \tilde{F} = 0$.

(2) follows from a simple computation using

$$\partial_x \sigma = \sigma' + \sigma \partial_x.$$

□

Lemma 7.4. *The operator S defined in Lemma 7.3 has the following properties:*

(i) *S is linear,*
(ii) *$S(\sigma\tau) = S(\sigma)S(\tau)$,*
(iii) *$S(\sigma) = \sigma \mathrm{I} + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \sigma^{(j)} \frac{C^j}{j!}$, where $C = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k e_{k+1,k}$,*
(iv) *if $\sigma = \mathrm{I} + \sum_{i<0} \sigma_i \partial_x^i$, then $S(\sigma) = \mathrm{I} + \sum_{i<0} S_i \partial_x^i$, where*

$$S_i = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \sigma_i^{(j)} \frac{C^j}{j!}. \tag{7.10}$$

Proof. It is clear that S is linear. The rest of the Proposition follows from simple and direct computations. □

Next we give a necessary condition for $g \in L_-(SL(n,\mathbb{C}))$ being in the negative subgroup L_- of the $n \times n$ KdV hierarchy:

Lemma 7.5. *Let $B : sl(n,\mathbb{C}) \to \mathcal{N}_-$ be the linear operator (2.15) defines the splitting $\mathcal{L}_+, \mathcal{L}_- = \mathcal{L}_-^B$ of the $n \times n$ KdV hierarchy. If $g(\lambda) = \sum_{i \le 0} g_i \lambda^i \in L_-$, then $g_0 = \mathrm{I} + B(g_{-1})$.*

Proof. We need to use the equivalent splitting of the $n \times n$ KdV hierarchy constructed in [7] to prove this Lemma: Let $c_k = \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{1-\alpha^i}{1-\alpha}$, and

$$\phi_n(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} c_k \Lambda^k z^k, \tag{7.11}$$

where $\alpha = \exp(2\pi i/n)$ and Λ is defined by (2.14). It was proved in [7] that $\phi_n^{-1}(z)$ is a polynomial in z. Let L^{ϕ_n} denote the subgroup of $f \in L(SL(n,\mathbb{C}))$ satisfying the reality condition

$$\phi_n(z) f(z) \phi_n(z)^{-1} = \phi_n(\alpha z) f(\alpha z) \phi_n^{-1}(\alpha z), \quad \alpha = e^{2\pi i/n}. \tag{7.12}$$

In other words, $\eta(z) = \sum_i \eta_i z^i \in \mathcal{L}^{\phi_n}$ if and only if $\phi_n(z)\eta(z)\phi_n(z)^{-1}$ is a power series in z^n. Let Φ denote the following Lie algebra isomorphism:

$$\Phi : \mathcal{L}(sl(n,\mathbb{C})) \to \mathcal{L}^{\phi_n}, \quad \Phi(\eta)(z) = \phi_n(z)^{-1} \eta(z^n) \phi_n(z). \tag{7.13}$$

Let $\mathcal{L}_\pm^{\phi_n} = \mathcal{L}_\pm(sl(n,\mathbb{C})) \cap \mathcal{L}^{\phi_n}$, where $\mathcal{L}_\pm(sl(n,\mathbb{C}))$ is the standard splitting of $\mathcal{L}(sl(n,\mathbb{C}))$. The following results were proved in [7]:

(i) Let $\mathcal{L}_\pm^{\phi_n} = \mathcal{L}^{\phi_n} \cap \mathcal{L}_\pm(sl(n,\mathbb{C}))$. Then $\Phi(\mathcal{L}_+(sl(n,\mathbb{C})) = \mathcal{L}_+^{\phi_n}$ and $\Phi(\mathcal{L}_-) = \mathcal{L}_-^{\phi_n}$, where $\mathcal{L}_-$ is the negative group for the $n \times n$ KdV hierarchy.

(ii) If $\xi \in sl(n,\mathbb{C})$, then

$$\pi_+^{\phi_n}(\phi_n(z)^{-1}\xi z^{-n}\phi_n(z)) = -\phi_n(z)^{-1}B(\xi)\phi_n(z), \tag{7.14}$$

where $\pi_-^{\phi_n}$ is the projection of $\mathcal{L}^{\phi_n}$ onto $\mathcal{L}_-^{\phi_n}$ with respect to the splitting $\mathcal{L}_\pm^{\phi_n}$.

(iii) If $k = \mathrm{I}_n + \sum_{i<0} k_i z^i$ lies in $L_-^{\phi_n}$, then $k - \mathrm{I}_n \in \mathcal{L}_-^{\phi_n}$.

Given $g = \sum_{i\le 0} g_i\lambda^i \in L_-^B$, first we claim that there exists $h(\lambda) = \mathrm{I} + \sum_{i\le -2} h_i\lambda^i$ such that $gh = g_0 + g_{-1}\lambda^{-1}$ is in L_-^B (h_i's can be solved by comparing coefficients of λ^i). It follows from (iii) of the results for the equivalent splitting of $n\times n$ KdV hierarchy in z-gauge given above that $\phi_n^{-1}(g_0 + g_{-1}z^{-n} - \mathrm{I})\phi_n$ is in $\mathcal{L}_-^{\phi_n}$, hence

$$\pi_+^{\phi_n}(\phi_n(z)^{-1}(g_0 - \mathrm{I}_n + g_{-1}z^{-n})\phi_n(z)) = 0.$$

By (7.14), we have

$$\pi_+^{\phi_n}(\phi_n(z)^{-1}g_{-1}z^{-n}\phi_n(z)) = -\phi_n(z)^{-1}B(g_{-1})\phi_n(z).$$

But $\phi_n(z)^{-1}(g_0 - \mathrm{I}_n)\phi_n(z)$ is a polynomial in z. So we have $\phi_n^{-1}(g_0 - \mathrm{I}_n - B(g_{-1}))\phi_n = 0$. This proves that $g_0 - \mathrm{I} - B(g_{-1}) = 0$. □

The next Lemma proves Step 2 (i).

Lemma 7.6. *Let $\tilde F(t)$ and $V(t)$ be as in Lemma 7.3, and $W = \tilde F V^{-1}$. Then*

(i) *$W = \sum_{i\le 0} q_i\lambda^i$ and $q_0 \in N_-$,*

(ii) *factor $W = \eta_+ M$ with respect to the splitting of the $n\times n$ KdV, then η_+ is independent of λ and lies in N_-.*

Proof. It follows from Lemma 7.4 that

$$S(\sigma) = \sum_{j\le 0} S(\sigma_j\partial_x^j) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\frac{\sigma^{(i)}}{i!}C^i = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\sum_{j\le 0}\frac{\sigma_j^{(i)}}{i!}C^i\partial_x^j = \sum_{j\le 0} S_j\partial_x^j,$$

where $S_j = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\frac{\sigma_j^{(i)}}{i!}C^i$ and $C = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} k e_{k+1,k}$ as given in Lemma 7.4.

Since $\partial_x^j V = J^j V$,

$$W = (S(\sigma)V)V^{-1} = (\sum_{j\le 0} S_j\partial_x^j V)V^{-1} = \sum_{j\le 0} S_j J^j.$$

To write W as a power series in λ, we note that $J^{-n} = \lambda^{-1}$ and $J^{-i} = (b^t)^i + b^{n-i}\lambda^{-1}$ for $1\le i\le n-1$. Thus we have

$$W = \mathrm{I} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} S_{-i}J^{-i} + \sum_{i\ge n} S_{-i}J^{-i}.$$

The degree of the third term is -1. So only the first and second term contribute to the constant term of W. Since $S_{-i} \in \mathcal{N}_-$ and the constant

term of J^{-i} is $(b^t)^i$, the constant term of W in λ expansion is in N_-. This proves (i).

By Lemma 7.5, M is of the form

$$(\mathrm{I} + B(p_{-1})) + p_{-1}\lambda^{-1} + p_{-2}\lambda^{-2} + \cdots .$$

Equate the constant term in $W = \eta_+ M$ to see that η_+ is independent of λ and $q_0 = \eta_+(1 + B(p_{-1}))$. So $\eta_+ = q_0(\mathrm{I} + B(p_{-1}))^{-1}$, which is independent of λ and is in N_-. □

Lemma 7.7. *Let $\tilde F = S(\sigma)V(t)$ as in Lemma 7.3, and T a pseudo-differential operator. Then*

$$((T_-\tilde F)\tilde F^{-1})_- = ((T\tilde F)\tilde F^{-1})_- \tag{7.15}$$

with respect to the splitting of the $n \times n$ KdV hierarchy.

Proof. Let $\tilde E$ denote the solution of

$$L_\xi \tilde E = 0, \quad \tilde E(0,\lambda) = \mathrm{I}.$$

Then $\tilde E(t,\cdot) \in L_+$. Hence $(T_+\tilde E)\tilde E^{-1} \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_+$. Since $L_\xi \tilde F = 0$, there exists f independent of all t_j's such that $\tilde F = \tilde E f$. So $(T_+\tilde F)\tilde F^{-1} = (T_+\tilde E)\tilde E^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}_+$. But

$$((T_-(\tilde F))\tilde F^{-1})_- = ((T(\tilde F))\tilde F^{-1} - (T_+(\tilde F))\tilde F^{-1})_-.$$

We have shown that the second term is in $\mathcal{L}_+$. Thus (7.15) is true. □

Now we are ready to prove Step 2 (ii) and (iii).

Lemma 7.8. *Let $\tilde F(t) = S(\sigma)V(t)$ as in Lemma 7.3, and $W(t) = \tilde F V(t)^{-1}$. Factor $W(t) = \eta_+(t)M(t)$ with $\eta_+(t) \in N_-$ and $M \in L_-$ as in Lemma 7.6. Then*

(i) $M_{t_j} M^{-1} = -(MJ^jM^{-1})_-$,

(ii) $M(\partial_x - J)M^{-1} = \partial_x - (J + u)$ *for some solution u of the $n \times n$ KdV hierarchy.*

Proof. Note that $S(\partial_x^j)V = \partial_x^j V = J^j V$. Use $M = \eta_+^{-1} W$ to get

$$M_{t_j} M^{-1} = (\eta_+^{-1} W_{t_j} W^{-1} \eta_+)_- = (\eta_+^{-1}(W_{t_j} W^{-1})_- \eta_+)_-. \tag{7.16}$$

Since $W = \tilde F V^{-1}$ and $\tilde F = S(\sigma)V$, it follows from Lemma 7.4 that we have

$$\begin{aligned} W_{t_j} W^{-1} &= \tilde F_{t_j}\tilde F^{-1} - WJ^jW^{-1} = (S(\sigma)V)_{t_j}\tilde F^{-1} - WJ^jW^{-1} \\ &= (S(\sigma_{t_j})V)\tilde F^{-1} + S(\sigma)V_{t_j}\tilde F^{-1} - WJ^jW^{-1}, \quad \text{by Lemma 7.4 (ii)} \\ &= (S(\sigma_{t_j}\sigma^{-1})S(\sigma)V + S(\sigma)J^jV)\tilde F^{-1} - WJ^jW^{-1} \\ &= -(S((\sigma\partial_x^j\sigma^{-1})_-)S(\sigma)V)\tilde F^{-1} + (S(\sigma)J^jV)\tilde F^{-1} - WJ^jW^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 7.7,

$$\begin{aligned} &((S((\sigma\partial_x^j\sigma^{-1})_-)S(\sigma)V)\tilde F^{-1})_- = -((S(\sigma\partial_x^j\sigma^{-1})S(\sigma)V)\tilde F^{-1})_- \\ &= -((S(\sigma\partial_x^j)V)\tilde F^{-1})_- = -(S(\sigma)S(\partial_x^j)V)\tilde F^{-1})_- = -((S(\sigma)J^jV)\tilde F^{-1})_-. \end{aligned}$$

So we have

$$W_{t_j}W^{-1} \equiv -WJ^jW^{-1} \pmod{\mathcal{L}_+}.$$

Here we use the notation $\xi \equiv \eta \pmod{\mathcal{L}_+}$ if $\xi - \eta \in \mathcal{L}_+$.

By (7.16), we have

$$M_{t_j}M^{-1} = (\eta_+^{-1}W_{t_j}W^{-1}\eta_+)_- = (\eta_+^{-1}(W_{t_j}W^{-1})_-\eta_+)_-$$
$$= -(\eta_+^{-1}(WJ^jW^{-1})_-\eta_+)_- = -(\eta_+^{-1}WJ^jW^{-1}\eta_+)_- = -(MJ^jM^{-1})_-.$$

This proves (i).

Statement (ii) follows from (i) and Theorem 2.5. □

7.9. *Proof of Theorem 7.1*

Let $P_{\xi(t)}$ be a solution of the GD_n-hierarchy, and $\sigma(t), V(t)$ and $\tilde{F}(t) = S(\sigma(t))V(t)$ as in Lemma 7.3, and $W(t) = \tilde{F}(t)V(t)^{-1}$. Factor $W(t) = \eta_+(t)M(t)$ and let $u(t)$ as in Lemma 7.8. By Lemma 7.8, $u(t)$ is a solution of the $n \times n$ KdV hierarchy. Let $L_u = \partial_x - (J+u)$, and $L_\xi = \partial_x - (J+\xi(t))$. It remains to prove that $\Psi(L_u) = L_\xi$. To prove this, we compute

$$(MV)_x(MV)^{-1} = M_xM^{-1} + MV_xV^{-1}V^{-1} = M_xM^{-1} + MJM^{-1}$$
$$= -(MJM^{-1})_- + MJM^{-1} = (MJM^{-1})_+ = J + u.$$

By Lemma 7.3, we have $\tilde{F} = S(\sigma)V$ and $\tilde{D}\tilde{F} = \tilde{F}_x - (J+\xi)\tilde{F} = 0$. So $\tilde{F}_x\tilde{F}^{-1} = (J+\xi)$. But $\tilde{F} = WV = \eta_+MV$. So we have

$$\tilde{F}_x\tilde{F}^{-1} = (\eta_+)_x\eta_+^{-1} + \eta_+(MV)_x(MV)^{-1}\eta_+^{-1} = (\eta_+)_x\eta_+^{-1} + \eta_+(J+u)\eta_+^{-1}.$$

This implies that $\eta_+(\partial_x - (J+u))\eta_+^{-1} = \partial_x - (J+\xi)$. By Lemma 7.6, η_+ is in N_- and independent of λ. So it follows from Corollary 4.6 that $\eta_+ = \triangle$ and $\Psi(L+u) = L_\xi$. This completes the proof of Theorem. □

The above proof in fact gives an algorithm to compute the solution of the $n \times n$ KdV solution that corresponds to the solution ξ of the GD_n.

Theorem 7.10. *Let $x = t_1$, $P_{\xi(t)} = \partial_x^n - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\xi_i(t)\partial_x^{i-1}$ a solution of the GD_n hierarchy, and $\xi(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\xi_i(t)e_{ni}$. Let $\sigma(t) = \mathrm{I} + \sum \sigma_j\partial_x^{-j}$ such that $P_\xi = \sigma\partial_x^n\sigma^{-1}$ and $\sigma_{t_j}\sigma^{-1} = -(\sigma\partial_x^j\sigma^{-1})_-$. Let $V(t) = \exp(\sum_{i=1}^N t_iJ^i)$, and $S(\sigma)$ be defined by (7.6). Set $W = (S(\sigma)(V))V^{-1}$. Factor $W = \triangle M$ with $\triangle \in L_+$ and $M \in L_-$. Then*

1. *$\triangle_\lambda = 0$ and $\triangle \in N_-$,*
2. *$u = MJM^{-1} - J$ is a solution of the $n \times n$ KdV hierarchy,*
3. *let $f = M(0)$, then $u = u_f$ and M is the reduced frame,*
4. *$\hat{\Psi}(L_u) = P_\xi$, where $\hat{\Psi}$ is the bijection from $\mathcal{M}(S_0)$ to $\mathfrak{P}_n$ defined by (7.5).*

8. The Virasoro actions agree

We gave an action of $\mathcal{V}_+$ on reduced frames of the $n\times n$ KdV hierarchy in section 6. There is also a well-known action of $\mathcal{V}_+$ on the solutions of the GD_n-flows. In this section, we prove that these two actions are the same via the isomorphism $\hat\Psi$ between the phase spaces of the $n\times n$ KdV and the GD_n hierarchies given in section 7.

The well-known $\mathcal{V}_+$-action (cf. [9]) on

$$\mathfrak{S}=\{\sigma=\mathrm{I}+\sum_{i<0}\sigma_i\partial_x^i|\sigma_i\in C^\infty(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{C})\}$$

is defined by

$$Y_\ell(\sigma)\sigma^{-1}=\left(\sigma\circ\left(x+\sum_{j=2}^N jt_j\partial^{j-1}\right)\circ\partial^{\ell+1}\circ\sigma^{-1}\right)_-,\quad \ell\geq -1.$$

Let

$$\mathfrak{S}_n=\{\sigma\in\mathfrak{S}|(\sigma\partial_x^n\sigma^{-1})_-=0\}.$$

Then $\sigma\in\mathfrak{S}_n$ if and only if $\sigma\partial_x^n\sigma^{-1}\in\mathfrak{P}_n$, the phase space of the GD_n hierarchy. It can be checked easily that the vector field

$$\eta_\ell:=Y_{n\ell}$$

is tangent to $\mathfrak{S}_n$ for $\ell\geq 0$. Hence the following vector fields

$$(\eta_\ell\sigma)\sigma^{-1}=\frac{1}{n}\left(\sigma\circ\left(x+\sum_{j=2}^N jt_j\partial^{j-1}\right)\circ\partial^{n\ell+1}\circ\sigma^{-1}\right)_-,\quad \ell\geq 0. \tag{8.1}$$

on $\mathfrak{S}_n$ satisfy the Virasoro condition $[\eta_\ell,\eta_k]=(k-\ell)\eta_{k+\ell}$ for $k,\ell\geq 0$.

Next we compute the variation on the reduced frame M induced from a variation of pseudo-differential operators:

Proposition 8.1. *Let $P_{\xi(t)},\sigma(t),S(\sigma),M,\triangle$ be as in Theorem 7.10. If the variation $(\delta\sigma)\sigma^{-1}=(\tau\sigma^{-1})_-$ for some pseudo-differential operator τ, then the corresponding variation on M is given by*

$$(\delta M)M^{-1}=(\triangle^{-1}(S(\tau)V)V^{-1}M^{-1})_-. \tag{8.2}$$

Proof. Take variation of $M=\triangle^{-1}W$ to get

$$(\delta M)M^{-1}=-\triangle^{-1}\delta\triangle+\triangle^{-1}\delta WW^{-1}\triangle.$$

Since $\triangle\in L_+$, $\triangle^{-1}\delta\triangle\in\mathcal{L}_+$. So we have

$$(\delta M)M^{-1}=(\triangle^{-1}(\delta W)W^{-1})\triangle)_-.$$

Use $W=(S(\sigma)V)V^{-1}$ and Lemma 7.4 to compute

$$\begin{aligned}\delta WW^{-1}&=(S(\delta\sigma)V)V^{-1}W^{-1}=(S((\tau\sigma^{-1})_-\sigma)V)\tilde F^{-1}\\&=S((\tau\sigma^{-1})_-)S(\sigma)V)V^{-1}=(S((\tau\sigma^{-1})_-\tilde F)\tilde F^{-1}).\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 7.7, we have

$$\begin{aligned}((\delta W)W^{-1})_- &= (S(\tau\sigma^{-1})\tilde{F})\tilde{F}^{-1})_- = ((S(\tau\sigma^{-1})S(\sigma)V)\tilde{F}^{-1}\\ &= ((S(\tau)V)\tilde{F}^{-1})_-.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we get

$$\begin{aligned}(\delta M)M^{-1} &= (\triangle^{-1}(\delta W)W^{-1}\triangle)_- = (\triangle^{-1}((\delta W)W^{-1})_-\triangle)_-\\ &= (\triangle^{-1}(S(\tau)V)\tilde{F}^{-1})_-\triangle)_- = (\triangle^{-1}(S(\tau)V)\tilde{F}^{-1})\triangle)_-\\ &= (\triangle^{-1}(S(\tau)V)V^{-1}M^{-1})_-\end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 8.2. *With the same notation as in Proposition 8.1, if $\eta_\ell\sigma$ is the variation given by* (8.1)*, then the corresponding variation on M is*

$$(\eta_\ell M)M^{-1} = \frac{1}{n}(Z_1+Z_2+Z_3+Z_4)_-, \quad \text{where}$$

$$Z_1 = xMJ^{n\ell+1}M^{-1}, \quad Z_2 = \sum_{j=2}^{N} jt_jMJ^{n\ell+j}M^{-1},$$

$$Z_3 = -\sum_{j>0} j\triangle^{-1}S_{-j}J^{n\ell-j}M^{-1}, \quad Z_4 = \triangle^{-1}C\triangle MJ^{n\ell+1}M^{-1},$$

$C = \sum_{k=1}^{n} ke_{k+1,k}$, and S_{-j} is defined by (7.10).

Proof. We assume $(\delta_\ell\sigma)\sigma^{-1} = \frac{1}{n}(\sigma\tau)_-$, where

$$\tau = \sigma\circ\left(x+\sum_{j=2}^{N} jt_j\partial^{j-1}\right)\partial^{n\ell+1}.$$

Write $\sigma = \sum_{j\le 0}\sigma_j\partial^j$ with $\sigma_0 = 1$. Then

$$\sigma\circ x = x\sigma + \sum_{j<0} j\sigma_j\partial^{j-1}.$$

So we have

$$\begin{aligned}\tau &= \sigma\circ x\circ\partial^{n\ell+1} + \sum_{j=2}^{N} jt_j\sigma\circ\partial^{n\ell+j}\\ &= x\sigma\circ\partial^{n\ell+1} - \sum_{j>0} j\sigma_{-j}\partial^{n\ell-j} + \sum_{j=2}^{N} jt_j\sigma\circ\partial^{n\ell+j}.\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 7.4 (iii), we have

$$S(\partial^k) = \partial^k\mathrm{I}, \quad S(x\mathrm{I}) = x\mathrm{I}+C, \quad S(\sigma_{-j}\partial^m) = S_{-j}\partial^m, \tag{8.3}$$

where $C = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} ke_{k+1,k}$ and S_{-j} is defined by (7.10).

Use $\partial_x^k V = VJ^k$ to get

$$S(\tau)V = (x+C)S(\sigma)VJ^{n\ell+1} - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} jS_{-j}J^{n\ell-j}V + \sum_{j=2}^{N} jt_j S(\sigma)VJ^{n\ell+j}. \quad (8.4)$$

The Theorem follows by substituting (8.4) to (8.2). □

Theorem 8.3. *The Virasoro actions on the $n \times n$ KdV flows and the GD_n flows agree under the bijection $\hat{\Psi}$.*

Proof. By Theorem 6.3, the induced $\mathcal{V}_+$-action on reduced frame M of the $n \times n$ KdV hierarchy is

$$(\delta_\ell M)M^{-1} = -(\lambda^\ell(\Gamma M)M^{-1} + \frac{1}{n}\lambda^\ell M \sum_{i\geq 1} it_i MJ^i M^{-1})_-, \quad \ell \geq -1. \quad (8.5)$$

Recall that the Virasoro vector fields on the reduced frames M induced from the Virasoro vector fields η_ℓ on $\mathfrak{S}_n$'s is

$$(\eta_\ell M)M^{-1} = \frac{1}{n}(Z_1 + Z_2 + Z_3 + Z_4)_-$$

as given in Theorem 8.2. We want to prove that $\eta_\ell = \delta_\ell$. It is clear that the second term of (8.5) is equal to $(Z_1 + Z_2)_-$.

To compute the first term of (8.5), we recall that $\hat{\Gamma} f = \lambda f_\lambda + [f, \Xi]$ is the derivation defined by (6.6). Let $W, \triangle$ be as in Theorem 7.10. By Definition of $\hat{\Gamma}$, we have

$$(\hat{\Gamma}W)W^{-1} = (\Gamma W)W^{-1} - \Xi$$

Since $M = \triangle^{-1}W$ and $\triangle_\lambda = 0$, a direct computation implies that

$$(\Gamma M)M^{-1} = \triangle^{-1}(\Gamma W)W^{-1}\triangle.$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}(\lambda^\ell(\Gamma M)M^{-1})_- &= (\lambda^\ell \triangle^{-1}(\Gamma W)W^{-1}\triangle)_- \\ &= (\lambda^\ell \triangle^{-1}(\hat{\Gamma} W)W^{-1}\triangle - \lambda^\ell \triangle^{-1}\Xi\triangle)_- \\ &= (\lambda^\ell \triangle^{-1}(\hat{\Gamma} W)W^{-1}\triangle)_-.\end{aligned}$$

The last equality holds because $\ell > 0$ implies that $\lambda^\ell \triangle^{-1}\Xi\triangle \in \mathcal{L}_+$.

By Lemma 7.6, $W = \sum_{j\geq 0} S_{-j}J^{-j}$, and S_{-j} is given by (7.10), i.e.,

$$S_{-j} = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{\sigma_{-j}^{(i)}}{i!} C^i,$$

where $C = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} ke_{k+1,k}$.

A simple computation shows that $\hat{\Gamma}C = -\frac{1}{n}C$ and $\hat{\Gamma}C^k = -\frac{k}{n}C^k$. Therefore

$$\hat{\Gamma}S_{-j} = -\frac{C}{n}(S_{-j})_x. \quad (8.6)$$

Use (8.6) and $\hat{\Gamma}J^k = \frac{k}{n}J^k$ (Lemma 6.1) to get

$$\hat{\Gamma}W = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{j>0} -C(S_{-j})_x J^{-j} - jS_{-j}J^{-j}.$$

Therefore we have proved $((\Gamma M)M^{-1}\lambda^\ell)_- = \frac{1}{n}(Y_3+Y_4)_-$, where

$$Y_3 = -\lambda^\ell \sum_{j>0} j\triangle^{-1}S_{-j}J^{-j}M^{-1},$$

$$Y_4 = -\lambda^\ell \triangle^{-1}C(S_{-j})_x J^{-j}M^{-1}.$$

It is clear that $(Y_3)_- = (Z_3)_-$. It remains to prove $(Y_4)_- = (Z_4)_-$. Since

$$M = \triangle^{-1}W = \triangle^{-1}\sum_{j\geq 0} S_{-j}J^{-j},$$

$$M_xM^{-1} = -\triangle^{-1}\triangle_x + \triangle^{-1}\sum_{j>0}(S_{-j})_xJ^{-j}M^{-1}.$$

This implies that

$$\sum_{j>0}(S_{-j})_xJ^{-j}M^{-1} = \triangle M_xM^{-1} + \triangle_x.$$

Hence we have

$$(Y_4)_- = -(\lambda^\ell\triangle^{-1}C\triangle M_xM^{-1} + \triangle^{-1}\triangle_x)_- = -(\lambda^\ell\triangle^{-1}C\triangle M_xM^{-1})_-.$$

But $M_{t_1}M^{-1} = -\pi_-(MJM)$ (recall that we identify $t_1 = x$). So we have

$$(Y_4)_- = (\lambda^\ell\triangle^{-1}C\triangle(MJM^{-1})_-)_- = \pi_-(\lambda^\ell\triangle^{-1}C\triangle MJM^{-1})_- = (Y_4)_-.$$

This completes the proof. □

References

[1] Aratyn, K., van de Ler, J., *An integrable structure based on the WDVV equations*, Theoret. and Math. Phys. 134 (2003), 1426

[2] Dickey, L.A., *Soliton equations and Hamiltonian systems*, second edition, Advanced Series in Mathematical Physics **26** (2003), World Scientific Publishing Co. Inc., River Edge, NJ.

[3] Drinfeld, V.G., Sokolov, V.V., *Lie algebras and equations of Korteweg-de Vries type*, (Russian) Current problems in mathematics, **24** (1984), 81–180, Itogi Naukii Tekhniki, Akad. Nauk SSSR, Vsesoyuz. Inst. Nauchn. i Tekhn. Inform., Moscow

[4] Gel'fand, I.M., Dikii, L.A., *A family of Hamiltonian structures connected with integrable nonlinear differential equations*, Akad. Nauk SSSR Inst. Prikl. Mat. Preprint **136** (1978), 41

[5] Pressley, A., Segal, G. B., *Loop Groups*, Oxford Science Publ., Clarendon Press, Oxford, (1986)

[6] Terng, C.L., Uhlenbeck, K., *Poisson actions and scattering theory for integrable systems*, Surveys in Differential Geometry, IV: Integrable Systems, A supplement to J. Differential Geometry, 4(1999), 315-402

[7] Terng, C.L., Uhlenbeck, K., *The $n\times n$ KdV flows*, J. Fixed Point Theory and its Applications, **10** (2011) 37-61 (Palais Festschrift)

[8] Terng, C.L., Uhlenbeck, K., *A Tau functions and Virasoro actions for soliton hierarchies*, arXiv:1405.4043
[9] van Moerbeke, P., *Integrable foundations of string theory*, Lectures on integrable systems (Sophia-Antipolis, 1991), 163–267, World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 1994

Department of Mathematics, University of California at Irvine, Irvine, CA 92697-3875. Email: cterng@math.uci.edu

The University of Texas at Austin, Department of Mathematics, RLM 8.100, Austin, TX 78712. Email:uhlen@math.utexas.edu